

Teil III

## Friedenssicherungs- und Konfliktrecht

### § 13 Recht der Friedenssicherung

#### A. Das Gewaltverbot im Völkerrecht

**Vertiefende Literatur zu A.:** *K. Ambos/J. Arnold* (Hg.), Der Irak-Krieg und das Völkerrecht, 2004; *V. Bílková*, The Use of Force by the Russian Federation in Crimea, *ZaöRV* 75 (2015), 27; *M. Bothe*, Der Irak-Krieg und das völkerrechtliche Gewaltverbot, *AVR* 41 (2003), 255; *T. Bruha/S. Heselhaus/T. Marauhn* (Hg.), Legalität, Legitimität und Moral: Können Gerechtigkeitspostulate Kriege rechtfertigen?, 2008; *J. Delbrück*, Effektivität des UN-Gewaltverbots, *FW* 74/1-2 (1999), 139; *O. Dörr*, Das völkerrechtliche Gewaltverbot am Beginn des 21. Jahrhunderts: Was bleibt von Art. 2 (4) UN-Charta?, in: ders. (Hg.), Ein Rechtslehrer in Berlin: Symposium für Randelzhofer, 2004, 33; *ders.*, Das völkerrechtliche Gewaltverbot und die Vereinten Nationen, in: *Varwick/Zimmermann* (Hg.), Die Reform der Vereinten Nationen, 2006, 145; *P. Dreist*, Ideengeschichte des ius ad bellum, in: *Schöbener* (Hg.), Völkerrecht, 2014, 175; *ders.*, Kriege (ius ad bellum), ebd., 260; *B. Fassbender*, Die Gegenwarts Krise des völkerrechtlichen Gewaltverbotes vor dem Hintergrund der geschichtlichen Entwicklung, *EuGRZ* 2004, 241; *H. Fischer*, Zwischen autorisierter Gewaltanwendung und Präventivkrieg: Der völkerrechtliche Kern der Debatte um ein militärisches Eingreifen im Irak, *HuV-I* 16 (2003), 4; *T. Franck*, Who Killed Article 2 (4)? Or: Changing Norms Governing the Use of Force by States, *AJIL* 64 (1970), 809; *ders.*, The Use of Force in International Law, *TulaneJICL* 11 (2003), 7; *ders.*, Recourse to Force: State Action Against Threats and Armed Attacks, 2002; *T. Gazzini/N. Tsagourias* (Hg.), The Use of Force in International Law, 2012; *M. Glennon*, Limits of Law, Prerogatives of Power, 2001; *ders.*, The Rise and Fall of the UN's Charter Use of Force Rules, *HICLR* 27 (2004), 497; *C. Gray*, The Limits of Force, *RdC* 376 (2014), 93; *K. Hailbronner*, Die Grenzen des völkerrechtlichen Gewaltverbots, *BDGVR* 26 (1986), 49; *S. T. Helmersen*, The Prohibition of the Use of Force as *Jus Cogens*: Explaining Apparent Derogations, *NILR* 61 (2014), 167; *L. Henkin*, Right v. Might: International Law and the Use of Force, 1991; *M. Herdegen/T. Rensmann*, Is there a Specific German Approach to the Prohibition of the Use of Force?, *GYIL* 50 (2007), 349; *C. Hilgenberg*, Gewaltverbot: Was gilt noch?, *FS Eitel* 2003, 141; *P. Hilpold/G. Zagel*, Das Gewaltverbot auf dem Prüfstand, *S+F* 24 (2006), 38; *R. Hofmann*, International Law and the Use of Military Force Against Iraq, *GYIL* 45 (2002), 9; *C. Ku*, When Can Nations Go To War? Politics and Change in the UN Security System, *MichJIL* 24 (2003), 1077; *D. Lutz/H. Gießmann*, Die Stärke des Rechts gegen das Recht des Stärkeren, 2003; *D. McKeever*, The Contribution of the International Court of Justice to the Law on the Use of Force: Missed Opportunities or Unrealistic Expectations?, *NorJIL* 78 (2009), 361; *H. Neuhold*, The Law of International Conflict: Force, Intervention and Peaceful Settlement of Disputes, 2016; *M. E. O'Connell*, Regulating the Use of Force in the 21st Century, *ColJTL* 36 (1997), 473; *V. Pfisterer*, Gibt es den gerechten Krieg? Der Topos des gerechten Krieges in Vergangenheit, Gegenwart und Zukunft, *StudZR* 2011, 53; *M. Pinto*, L'emploi de la force dans la jurisprudence des tribunaux internationaux, *RdC* 331 (2007), 9; *A. Riklin*, Wertfreiheit, Bellum iustum und der Irak-Krieg, *AVR* 45 (2007), 35; *N. Rostow*, International Law and the Use of Force: A Plea for Realism, *YJIL* 34 (2009), 549; *T. Ruys/L. Ferro*, Weathering the Storm: Legality and Legal Implications of the Saudi-Led Military Intervention in Yemen, *ICLQ* 65 (2016), 61; *D. Schindler*, Die Grenzen des völkerrechtlichen Gewaltverbots, *BDGVR* 26

(1986), 11; C. Stahn, “*Jus ad bellum*”, “*jus in bello*”... “*jus post bellum*”? – Rethinking the Conception of the Law of Armed Force, EJIL 17 (2006), 921; R. Streinz, Wo steht das Gewaltverbot heute?, JÖR 52 (2004), 219; S. Talmon, Changing Views on the Use of Force, Baltic YIL 5 (2005), 41; C. Tomuschat, Völkerrecht ist kein Zweiklassenrecht: Der Irak-Krieg und seine Folgen, VN 51 (2003), 41; G. Verdirame, The “Sinews of Peace”: International Law, Strategy, and the Prevention of War, BYIL 77 (2006), 83; J. H. Westra, International Law and the Use of Force, 2007; E. De Wet, The Modern Practice of Intervention by Invitation in Africa and Its Implications for the Prohibition of the Use of Force, EJIL 26 (2015), 979; D. Wippman, The Nine Lives of Article 2 (4), MinnJIL 16 (2007), 378; K. Ziolkowski, Gerechtigkeitspostulate als Rechtfertigung von Kriegen, 2008.

## B. Friedenssicherung im System der Vereinten Nationen

**Vertiefende Literatur zu B.: Zu I.1., Allgemeines.** J. D. Aston, Die Bekämpfung abstrakter Gefahren für den Weltfrieden durch legislative Maßnahmen durch den Sicherheitsrat, ZaöRV 62 (2002), 257; L. Brock, Der erweiterte Sicherheitsbegriff, FW 79/3-4 (2004), 323; T. Bruha/M. Krajewski, Funktionswandel des Sicherheitsrats als Verfassungsproblem, VN 1998, 13; R. Buchan, International Law and the Construction of the Liberal Peace, 2013; K. Dicke, National Interest vs. the Interest of the International Community, in: Delbrück (Hg.), New Trends in International Lawmaking – International “Legislation” in the Public Interest, 1997, 145; R. Falk, What Future for the UN System of War Prevention?, AJIL 97 (2003), 590; H. Gading, Der Schutz grundlegender Menschenrechte durch militärische Maßnahmen des Sicherheitsrates – das Ende staatlicher Souveränität?, 1996; C. Gerhold, Die Friedensbedrohung gemäß Art. 39 UN-Charta im Libyen-Konflikt 2011, 2014; M. Herdegen, Der Sicherheitsrat und die autoritative Konkretisierung des VII. Kapitels der UN-Charta, FS Bernhardt 1995, 103; ders., Die Befugnisse des UN-Sicherheitsrates – Aufgeklärter Absolutismus im Völkerrecht?, 1998; M. Lailach, Die Wahrung des Weltfriedens und der internationalen Sicherheit als Aufgabe des Sicherheitsrates der Vereinten Nationen, 1998; B. Martenczuk, Rechtsbindung und Rechtskontrolle des Weltsicherheitsrats, 1996; G. Nolte, The Limits of the Security Council’s Powers and its Functions in the International Legal System, in: Byers (Hg.), The Role of Law in International Politics, 2000, 315; H. Owada, The United Nations and the Maintenance of International Peace and Security, JAIL 48 (2006), 1; B. Ramcharan, The Security Council and the Protection of Human Rights, 2002; A. Schäfer, Der Begriff „Bedrohung des Friedens“ in Artikel 39 der Charta der Vereinten Nationen, 2006; D. Schweigman, The Authority of the Security Council under Chapter VII of the UN Charter: Legal Limits and the Role of the International Court of Justice, 2001; A. Tzanakopoulos, Disobeying the Security Council, 2011; E. de Wet, The Chapter VII Powers of the United Nations Security Council, 2004; E. de Wet/M. Wood, Collective Security, MPEPIL (7/2013).

**Zu I.2. und I.3. I. Bantekas,** The Permissibility of Defiance and Self-Defence Against Chapter VII Authorisations: When and Why, ARIEL 12 (2007), 3; A. F. Bauer, Effektivität und Legitimität: Die Entwicklung der Friedenssicherung durch Zwang nach Kapitel VII der Charta der Vereinten Nationen unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der neueren Praxis des Sicherheitsrates, 1996; L. Boisson de Chazourmes, Collective Security and the Economic Interventionsim of the UN, JIEL 10 (2007), 51; D. Cantwell, A Tale of Two Kadis: Kadi II, Kadi v. Geithner & U.S. Counterterrorism Finance Efforts, ColJTL 53 (2015), 652; T. Christakis, Maintenance and Restoration of International Peace and Security by Means of Force, FS 100 Jahre Walther-Schücking-Institut, 2014, 67; A. Cuyvers, Give Me One Good Reason: The Unified Standard of Review for Sanctions After Kadi II, CMLR 51(2014), 1759; O. Diggelmann, Targeted sanctions und Menschenrechte: Reflexionen zu einem ungeklärten Verhältnis, SZIER 19 (2009),

301; R. Gordon/M. Smyth/T. Cornell (Hg.), *Sanctions Law*, 2019; V. Gowlland-Debbas (Hg.), *United Nations Sanctions and International Law*, 2001; M. Happold/P. Eden (Hg.), *Economic Sanctions and International Law*, 2016; H. Keller/A. Fischer, *The UN Anti-terror Sanctions Regime under Pressure*, HRLJ 9 (2009), 257; J. Larik, *The Kadi Saga as a Tale of "Strict Observance" of International Law*, NILR 61 (2014), 23; N. Lavranos, *UN Sanctions and Judicial Review*, NorJIL 76 (2007), 1; E. López-Jacoiste, *The UN Collective Security System and its Relationship with Economic Sanctions and Human Rights*, MPUNYB 14 (2010), 273; A. Marrossi/M. Bassett (Hg.), *Economic Sanctions under International Law*, 2015; T. Mendoza (Hg.), *Authorization for Use of Military Force Against the Islamic State*, 2015; A. Pyka, *Wirtschaftssanktionen der Vereinten Nationen und der Europäischen Union*, 2015; D. Sarooshi, *The United Nations and the Development of Collective Security: The Delegation by the UN Security Council of its Chapter VII Powers*, 1999; J. R. Sulk, *Internationalisierung Innerer Sicherheit auf völkerrechtlicher Ebene: Rechtliche Maßnahmen der Vereinten Nationen zur Bekämpfung des Terrorismus und der Organisierten Kriminalität*, Jura 2010, 683; N. Tsagourias, *The Shifting Laws on the Use of Force and the Trivialization of the UN Collective Security System*, NYIL 34 (2003), 55; P. Urs, *The Role of the Security Council in the Use of Force against the 'Islamic State'*, MPYUNL 19 (2015), 65; M. Valta, *Staatenbezogene Wirtschaftssanktionen zwischen Souveränität und Menschenrechten*, 2019; P. Wittig, *Making UN Sanctions Work*, GYIL 55 (2012), 561.

**Zu I.4.** A. Bellamy/C. Hunt, *Twenty-first century UN peace operations: protection, force and the changing security environment*, *International Affairs* 91 (2015), 1277; J.-P. B. Bidias À Mbassa, *La MINUSMA et le recours à la force dans la lutte contre le terrorisme: hirondelle du printemps ou boîte de Pandore?*, *RDIDC* 95 (2018), 407; E. de Brabandere, *The Responsibility for Post-Conflict Reforms: A Critical Assessment of Jus Post Bellum as a Legal Concept*, *VJTL* 43 (2010), 119; C. Daase, *Die UN-Mission im Kosovo 1999-2008: zur Umsetzung völkerrechtlicher Standards für state-building durch eine internationale Übergangsverwaltung*, *FW* 84/3 (2009), 83; P. Daillier, *Les opérations multinationales consécutives à des conflits armés en vue du rétablissement de la paix*, *RdC* 314 (2005), 233; P. Dann/Z. Al-Ali, *The Internationalized Pouvoir Constituant: Constitution-Making Under External Influence in Iraq, Sudan and East Timor*, *MPUNYB* 10 (2006), 423; M. Doyle/N. Sambanis, *Making War and Building Peace: United Nations Peace Operations*, 2006; C. E. Ford, *Neotrusteeship or Mistrusteeship? The "Authority Creep" Dilemma in United Nations Transitional Administration*, *VJTL* 41 (2008), 55; V. Epping, *Völkerrechtliche Aspekte der Wiederherstellung von Staatlichkeit in Nachkonfliktgesellschaften*, *FW* 83/1 (2008), 25; ders./H.-J. Heintze (Hg.), *Wiederherstellung staatlicher Strukturen in Nach-Konflikt-Situationen*, 2007; dies. (Hg.), *Schaffung geordneter Staatlichkeit nach bewaffneten Konflikten*, 2009; L. Grenfell, *Promoting the Rule of Law in Post-Conflict States*, 2013; E. Günnewig, *Schadensersatz wegen der Verletzung des Gewaltverbotes als Element eines ius post bellum*, 2019; H. Henninger, *Menschenrechte und Frieden als Rechtsprinzipien des Völkerrechts: Das Handeln der Vereinten Nationen in der Konfliktnach-sorge aus der Perspektive einer völkerrechtlichen Prinzipienlehre*, 2013; S. Hünmrich-Welt, *Responsibility to Rebuild: Verantwortung zum Wiederaufbau von Post-Konflikt-Staaten*, 2016; M. Krajewski, *Schadensersatz wegen Verletzungen des Gewaltverbots als ius post bellum am Beispiel der Eritrea-Ethiopia Claims Commission*, *ZaöRV* 72 (2012), 147; K. Lundahl, *The United Nations and the Remedy Gap*, *FW* 88/3-4 (2013), 77; K. Maab, *Die neuen UN-Guidelines „Use of Force by Military Components in UN-Peacekeeping Operations“ – vom „robusten Mandat“ zur „robusten UN-Truppe“*, *HuV-I* 2017, 102; N. MacQueen, *Peacekeeping and the International System*, 2006; S. Maus, *The Responsibility to Rebuild: Some Remarks on a UN Obligation to Conduct Peacebuilding Activities*, *HuV-I* 2010, 52; L. May/E. Edenberg (Hg.), *Jus Post Bellum and Transitional Justice*, 2013; B. Oswald/H. Durham/A. Bates (Hg.), *Documents on the Law of UN Peace Operations*, 2. Aufl. 2019; M. Pacholska, (II)legality of

Killing Peacekeepers, JICJ 13 (2015), 43; *M. Payandeh*, State-Building im Friedenssicherungssystem der Vereinten Nationen: Völkerrechtliche Rahmenbedingungen einer neuen Herausforderung für die internationale Gemeinschaft, HuV-I 2005, 253; *C. Walter*, Hybrid Peacekeeping, FS Wolfrum, Bd. 2, 2012, 1327; *W. Weiß*, Security Council Powers and the Exigencies of Justice after War, MPUNYB 12 (2008), 45; *J. Werzer*, The UN Human Rights Obligations and Immunity: An Oxymoron Casting a Shadow on the Transitional Administrations in Kosovo and East Timor, NorJIL 77 (2008), 105; *E. de Wet*, The United Nations Collective Security System in the 21st Century, FS Wolfrum, Bd. 2, 2012, 1553; *R. Wilde*, From Danzig to East Timor and Beyond: The Role of International Territorial Administration, AJIL 95 (2001), 583; *R. Wolfrum*, International Administration in Post-Conflict Situations by the United Nations and Other International Actors, MPUNYB 9 (2005), 649.

**Zu II.** *A. Abass*, Regional Organisations and the Development of Collective Security, 2004; *ders.*, UN Cooperation with Regional Organisations in Peacekeeping Operations, in: De Lombaerde u. a. (Hg.), The United Nations and the Regions, 2012, 109; *G. Amvane*, Intervention Pursuant to Article 4(h) of the Constitutive Act of the African Union Without United Nations Security Council Authorisation, AfricanHRLJ 15 (2015), 282; *A. Berger*, Bewaffnete Konflikte in Afrika: Eine kritische Analyse des völkerrechtlichen Gewaltverbots, 2017; *L. Boisson de Chazournes*, Les relations entre organisations régionales et organisations universelles, RdC 347 (2010), 79; *G. Cellamare/I. Ingravallo* (Hg.), Peace Maintenance in Africa. Open Legal Issues, 2018; *J. Delbrück*, Regionale Abmachungen: Friedensbewahrung und Rechtsdurchsetzung, FS Zemanek, 1994, 162; *A. Douhan*, United Nations and Regional Organizations: Complementarity v. Subsidiarity, MPYUNL 19 (2015), 241; *M. Hakimi*, To Condone or Condemn? Regional Enforcement Actions in the Absence of Security Council Authorization, VJTL 40 (2007), 643; *P. Harrell*, Modern-Day “Guarantee Clauses” and the Legal Authority of Multinational Organizations to Authorize the Use of Military Force, YJIL 33 (2008), 417; *M. Harsch*, The Power of Dependence: NATO-UN Cooperation in Crisis Management, 2015; *M. Hertle*, Wirtschaftssanktionen der EU als Reaktion auf Völkerrechtsverletzungen von Drittstaaten im Zusammenhang mit der Ukraine-Krise, ZEuS 18 (2015), 117; *M. Kamto*, Le rôle des «accords et organismes régionaux» en matière de maintien de la paix et de la sécurité internationales à la lumière de la charte des nations unies et de la pratique internationale, RGDIP 111 (2007), 771; *K. Odendahl*, Die Beteiligung der EU an UN-Missionen im Kosovo: UNMIK, EUPT Kosovo und EULEX KOSOVO, SZIER 19 (2009), 359; *S. Paliwal*, The Primacy of Regional Organizations in International Peacekeeping: The African Example, VJIL 51 (2010), 185; *V. Pergantis*, Strange Bedfellows: Exploring the Relationship between R2P and Art. 4(h) of the African Union Constitutive Act with Regard to Military Intervention, GR2P 6 (2014), 295; *C. Riziki Majinge*, The Future of Peacekeeping in Africa and the Normative Role of the African Union, GoJIL 2 (2010), 463; *B. Schöbener*, Regionale Friedenssicherungssysteme, in: *ders.* (Hg.), Völkerrecht, 2014, 345; *C. Walter*, Vereinte Nationen und Regionalorganisationen, 1996; *ders.*, Regional Arrangements and the United Nations Charter, MPEPIL (9/2009); *E. de Wet*, The Evolving Role of ECOWAS and the SADC in Peace-Operations, LJIL 27 (2014), 353; *dies.*, The Modern Practice of Intervention by Invitation in Africa and Its Implications for the Prohibition of the Use of Force, EJIL 26 (2015), 979.

## C. Individuelle und kollektive Selbstverteidigung

**Vertiefende Literatur zu C.: Zu I., Allgemeines.** *D. Bowett*, Self-Defence in International Law, 1958; *A. Constantinou*, The Right of Self-Defence Under Customary International Law and Article 51 of the UN Charter, 2000; *T. Franck*, Recourse to Force: State Action Against Threats and Armed Attacks, 2005; *C. Gray*, The Limits of Force, RdC 376 (2014), 93 (113 ff);

*J. Green*, Self-Defence: A State of Mind for States?, NILR 55 (2008), 181; *ders.*, Fluctuating Evidentiary Standards for Self-Defence in the International Court of Justice, ICLQ 58 (2009), 163; *ders.*, The International Court of Justice and Self-Defence in International Law, 2009; *C. Greenwood*, Self-Defence, MPEPIL (4/2011); *M. Halberstam*, The Right to Self-Defense once the Security Council Takes Action, MichJIL 17 (1996), 229; *S. Hobe*, Selbstverteidigungsrecht, völkerrechtliches, in: Schöbener (Hg.), Völkerrecht, 2014, 374; *J. Kammerhofer*, Uncertainties of the Law on Self-Defence in the United Nations Charter, NYIL 35 (2004), 143; *D. Kretzmer*, The Inherent Right to Self-Defence and Proportionality in *Ius Ad Bellum*, EJIL 24 (2013), 235; *N. Krisch*, Selbstverteidigung und kollektive Sicherheit, 2001; *T. Mori*, Origins of the Right of Self-Defence in International Law: From the Caroline Incident to the United Nations Charter, 2018; *G. Nolte*, Multi-purpose Self-Defence, EJIL 24 (2013), 283; *T. Ruys*, 'Armed Attack' and Article 51 of the UN Charter, 2010; *O. Schachter*, Self-Defence and the Rule of Law, AJIL 83 (1989), 259; *F. Tronchetti*, The Right of Self-Defence in Outer Space, ZLWR 2014, 92; *F. Tronchetti*, The Right of Self-Defence in Outer Space, ZLW 63 (2014), 92; *E. Wilmshurst*, The Chatham House Principles of International Law on the Use of Force in Self-Defence, ICLQ 55 (2006), 963; *S. Wittich*, The Use of Force, Self-Defence and the Unrealism in International Law, ARIEL 14 (2009), 79.

**Zu II.** *M. Benatar*, The Use of Cyber Force: Need for Legal Justification?, GoJIL 1 (2009), 375; *G. Brandis*, The Right to Self-Defence Against Imminent Armed Attack in International Law, Australian YIL 35 (2017), 55; *A. Buchanan/R. O. Keohane*, The Preventive Use of Force, Ethics & International Affairs 18 (2004), 1; *C. v. Buttlar*, Rechtsstreit oder Glaubensstreit? Anmerkungen zur Debatte um die präventive Selbstverteidigung, FS Ress 2005, 15; *S. Clavier*, Contrasting Perspectives on Preemptive Strike, MaineLR 58 (2006), 565; *J. Friman*, Revisiting the Concept of Defence in the *Ius ad Bellum*: The Dual Face of Defence, 2017; *T. Gill*, The Temporal Dimension of Self-Defence: Anticipation, Pre-emption, Prevention and Immediacy, FS Dinstein 2007, 113; *ders./P. Ducheine*, Anticipatory Self-Defense in the Cyber Context, International Law Studies 89 (2013), 438; *L. Grosswald*, Cyberattack Attribution Matters Under Article 51 of the U.N. Charter, BrooklynJIL 36 (2011), 1151; *A. G. Hamid*, The Legality of Anticipatory Self-defence in the 21st Century World Order, NILR 54 (2007), 441; *S. Hobe*, Bewaffneter Angriff, in: Schöbener (Hg.), Völkerrecht, 2014, 45; *H. Hofmeister*, Preemptive Strikes – A New Normative Framework, AVR 44 (2006), 187; *ders.*, Will Iran be next? Völkerrechtliche Aspekte eines militärischen Präventivschlages gegen den Iran, Jura 2007, 767; *Z. Huang*, The Attribution Rules in ILC's Articles on State Responsibility: A Preliminary Assessment on Their Applicability to Cyber Operations, BalticYIL 14 (2014), 41; *E. T. Jensen*, Computer Attacks on Critical National Infrastructure, StanfordJIL 38 (2002), 207; *T. Keber/P. Roguski*, Ius ad bellum electronicum? Cyberangriffe im Lichte der UN-Charta und aktueller Staatenpraxis, AVR 49 (2011), 399; *M. Kunde*, Der Präventivkrieg, 2007; *D. Murswiek*, Die amerikanische Präventivstrategie und das Völkerrecht, NJW 2003, 1014; *H. Neuhold*, Anticipatory Self-Defence, ARIEL 14 (2009), 61; *M. E. O'Connell*, Pre-Emption and Exception: The US Moves Beyond Unilateralism, S+F 20 (2002), 136; *K. Oellers-Frahm*, Der IGH und die „Lücke“ zwischen Gewaltverbot und Selbstverteidigungsrecht, ZEuS 2007, 71; *M. Reisman/A. Armstrong*, The Past and Future of the Claim of Preemptive Self-Defense, AJIL 100 (2006), 525; *dies.*, Claims to Pre-emptive Uses of Force: Some Trends and Projections and their Implications for World Order, FS Dinstein, 2007, 79; *C. Richter*, Preemptive Self-Defense: Die Vereinbarkeit des Konzepts der Preemptive Self-Defense mit dem Völkerrecht, 2016; *D. Rivkin/L. Casey/M. Wendell DeLaquil*, Preemption and Law in the Twenty-First Century, ChiJIL 5 (2005), 467; *D. Sadoff*, Striking a Sensible Balance on the Legality of Defensive First Strikes, VJTL 42 (2009), 441; *C. Schaller*, Massenvernichtungswaffen und Präventivkrieg, ZaöRV 62 (2002), 641; *B. Schiffbauer*, Vorbeugende Selbstverteidigung im Völkerrecht, 2012; *M. Schmitt*, Computer Network Attacks and the Use of Force in International Law, ColJTL 37

(1999), 885; *ders.*, Preemptive Strategies in Law, *MichJIL* 24 (2003), 513; *J. Schwehm*, Präventive Selbstverteidigung: Eine vergleichende Analyse der völkerrechtlichen Debatte, *AVR* 46 (2008), 368; *S. Shackelford*, State Responsibility for Cyber Attacks, *GeorgeJIL* 42 (2011), 971; *A. Sofaer*, On the Necessity of Pre-emption, *EJIL* 14 (2003), 209; *M. D. Totten*, Using Force First: Moral Tradition and the Case for Revision, *StanfordJIL* 43 (2007), 95; *M. Waxman*, The Use of Force Against States That Might Have Weapons of Mass Destruction, *MichJIL* 31 (2009), 1; *D. Wiefelspütz*, Die Zulässigkeit der Anwendung präemptiver oder präventiver militärischer Gewalt, *HuV-I* 2006, 103; *P. Wittke*, The Bush Doctrine Revisited: Eine Untersuchung der Auswirkungen der Bush-Doktrin auf das geltende Völkerrecht, 2018; *R. Zedalis*, Circumstances Justifying Pre-emptive Self-Defence, *NorJIL* 74 (2005), 209; *K. Zemanek*, Armed Attack, *MPEPIL* (4/2009).

**Zu III.** *T. Christodoulidou/K. Chainoglou*, The Principle of Proportionality in Self-Defence and Humanitarian Intervention, *HuV-I* 2007, 79; *G. Fox*, Regime Change, *MPEPIL* (1/2013); *J. Gardam*, Proportionality and Force in International Law, *AJIL* 87 (1993), 391; *F. Grimal*, *Jus ad Bellum*: Nuclear Weapons and the Inherent Right Of Self-Defence, in: *Black-Branch/Fleck* (Hg.), *Nuclear Non-Proliferation in International Law*, Vol. II, 2016, 337; *R. Janik*, You Can't Have One Without the Other, Can You? Assessing the Relationship between the Use of Force in the Name of Human Rights and Regime Change, *CzYPPIL* 6 (2015), 223; *D. Kretzmer*, The Inherent Right to Self-Defence and Proportionality in *Jus ad Bellum*, *EJIL* 24 (2013), 235; *G. Nolte*, Multipurpose Self-Defence, Proportionality Disoriented, *EJIL* 24 (2013) 283; *S. Petkis*, Rethinking Proportionality in the Cyber Context, *GeorgeJIL* 47 (2016), 1431; *D. Tladi*, Security Council, the Use of Force and Regime Change: Libya and Cote d'Ivoire, *SAYIL* 37 (2012), 22; *M. Vanhullebusch*, Regime Change, the Security Council and China, *ChinJIL* 14 (2015), 665; *S. Wheatley*, The Security Council, Democratic Legitimacy and Regime Change in Iraq, *EJIL* 17 (2006), 531.

## D. Herausforderungen für das UN-Friedenssicherungssystem

**Vertiefende Literatur zu D.:** **Zu I.** *C. Chinkin/M. Kaldor*, International Law and New Wars, 2017; *T. Franck*, Collective Security and UN Reform: Between the Necessary and the Possible, *ChiJIL* 6 (2006), 597; *C. Gray*, A Crisis of Legitimacy for the UN Collective Security System?, *ICLQ* 56 (2007), 157; *M. Hakimi/J. Katz Cogan*, The Two Codes on the Use of Force, *EJIL* 27 (2016), 257; *A. Husain*, The United States and the Failure of the UN Collective Security, *AJIL* 101 (2007), 581; *M. Kotzur*, Die Rolle des UN-Sicherheitsrates in aktuellen Krisenszenarien, *FS T. Stein*, 2015, 196; *C. Kreß*, Major Post-Westphalian Shifts and Some Important Neo-Westphalian Hesitations in the State Practice on the International Law on the Use of Force, *JUFIL* 1 (2014), 11; *A. Orakhelashvili*, Legal Stability and Claims of Change: The International Court's Treatment of *Jus ad Bellum* and *Jus in Bello*, *NorJIL* 75 (2006), 371; *A. Weiner*, The Use of Force and Contemporary Security Threats: Old Medicine for New Ills?, *StanfordLR* 59 (2006), 415; *J. Yoo/W. Trachman*, Less than Bargained for: The Use of Force and the Declining Relevance of the United Nations, *ChiJIL* 5 (2004/05), 379.

**Zu II.1 und II.2.** *M. C. Bassiouni*, Legal Control of International Terrorism, *HarvILJ* 43 (2002), 83; *A. Bianchi* (Hg.), *Enforcing International Law Norms Against Terrorism*, 2004; *ders.*, Assessing the Effectiveness of the UN Security Council's Anti-terrorism Measures: The Quest for Legitimacy and Cohesion, *EJIL* 17 (2006), 881; *A. Cassese*, Terrorism is Also Disrupting Some Crucial Legal Categories of International Law, *EJIL* 12 (2001), 993; *H. Duffy* (Hg.), *The "War on Terror" and the Framework of International Law*, 2. Aufl. 2015; *D. Eisen-*

hut, Streit um den Anwendungsbereich einer umfassenden UN-Terrorismuskonvention: Eine Ausnahme für „Freiheitskämpfer“?, HuV-I 2007, 211; J. A. Frowein, Der Terrorismus als Herausforderung für das Völkerrecht, ZaöRV 62 (2002), 879; A. de Guttry/F. Capone/C. Paulussen (Hg.), Foreign Fighters under International Law and Beyond, 2016; P. Hilpold, The Security Council and the Fight Against Terrorisms: Does SC Resolution 2249 (2015) Lead to a More Grotian or a More Kantian International Society?, IJIL 55 (2015), 535; L. Hinojosa Martínez, The Legislative Role of the Security Council in its Fight Against Terrorism, ICLQ 57 (2008), 333; C. König, Sicherheit durch Menschenrechte oder Sicherheit statt Menschenrechten? Der Kampf des Sicherheitsrates gegen den Terrorismus, FreiLaw, 4/2008; R. Lavalle, A Politicized and Poorly Conceived Notion Crying Out for Clarification: The Alleged Need for a Universally Agreed Definition of Terrorism, ZaöRV 67 (2007), 89; L. Mammen, Auf dem Weg zu einer umfassenden Strategie im weltweiten Vorgehen gegen den Terrorismus, HuV-I 2006, 293; S. Margariti, Defining International Terrorism: Between State Sovereignty and Cosmopolitanism, 2017; V.-J. Proulx, Institutionalizing State Responsibility, 2016; E. Rediker, The Incitement of Terrorism on the Internet, MichJIL 36 (2015), 321; P. Rosenow, United we fight? Terrorismusbekämpfung im Rahmen der Vereinten Nationen seit dem 11. September 2001, FW 86/3-4 (2011), 15; A. Rubin, Legal Response to Terror: An International Criminal Court?, HarvILJ 43 (2002), 65; K. Samuel, The OIC, the UN, and Counter-Terrorism Law-Making, 2013; B. Saul, Attempts to Define ‘Terrorism’ in International Law, NILR 52 (2005), 57; G. Schneider, Die „terroristische“ Handlung im Völkervertragsrecht: Möglichkeiten und Grenzen eines einheitlichen Konzeptes, 2014; L. Vollmer, Der Sicherheitsrat der Vereinten Nationen als Weltregierung? Terrorismusbekämpfung durch Resolutionen, FreiLaw 1/2011.

**Zu II.3.** C. Antonopoulos, Force by Armed Groups as Armed Attack and the Broadening of Self-Defence, NILR 55 (2008), 159; T. Becker, Terrorism and the State: Rethinking the Rules of State Responsibility, 2006; D. Bethlehem, Self-Defense Against an Imminent or Actual Armed Attack by Nonstate Actors, AJIL 106 (2012), 769; D. Birkett, The Legality of the 2011 Kenyan Invasion of Somalia and its Implications for the *Jus ad Bellum*, JCSL 18 (2013), 427; L. Blank, The Extent of Self-Defence against Terrorist Groups: For How Long and How Far?, IsrYHR 47 (2017), 265; M. Bothe, Terrorism and the Legality of Pre-emptive Force, EJIL 14 (2003), 227; J. Boyle, Making Sense of Self-Defence in the War on Terror, JUFIL 1 (2014), 55; D. Brown, Use of Force Against Terrorism after September 11th, CardozoJICL 11 (2003), 1; T. Bruha/C. Claaszén, Sicherheit und Freiheit im internationalen Kampf gegen den Terrorismus, in: v. Arnould/Staack (Hg.), Sicherheit versus Freiheit?, 2009, 83; T. Bruha/C. Tams, Self-Defence Against Terrorist Attacks, FS Delbrück, 2005, 85; M. Byers, Terrorism, the Use of Force and International Law After September 11, ICLQ 51 (2002), 401; E. Cannizzaro, Entités non-étatiques et régime international de l’emploi de la force, RGDIP 111 (2007), 333; J. Charney, The Use of Force Against Terrorism and International Law, AJIL 94 (2000), 835; C. Dau, Die völkerrechtliche Zulässigkeit von Selbstverteidigung gegen nicht-staatliche Akteure, 2018; H.-G. Dederer, Krieg gegen den Terror, JZ 2004, 421; J. Delbrück, The Fight Against Global Terrorism: Self-defence or Collective Security as International Police Action?, GYIL 44 (2001), 9; H. Duffy, The ‘War on Terror’ and the Framework of International Law, 2. Aufl. 2014 (i.E.); J. Finke, Selbstverteidigungsrecht gegen nichtstaatliche Akteure: Zugleich ein Beitrag zur deutschen Beteiligung am militärischen Vorgehen gegen den Islamischen Staat, AVR 55 (2017), 1; T. Franck, Terrorism and the Right to Self-Defense, AJIL 95 (2001), 839; C. Greenwood, International Law and the “War Against Terrorism”, IntAff 78/2 (2002), 301; M. Hakimi, Defensive Force against Non-State Actors, 2015; T. Hofmann, Zurechnungskriterien für Gewaltaktionen nicht-staatlicher Gewaltakteure, Jura 2012, 349; A. de Hoogh, Restrictivist Reasoning on the Ratione Personae Dimension of Armed Attacks in the Post 9/11 World, LJIL 29 (2016), 19; D. Janse, International Terrorism and Self-Defense, IsrYBHR 36 (2006), 149; M. Kotzur, „Krieg gegen den Terrorismus“: politische Rhetorik oder neue Konturen des

Kriegsbegriffes im Völkerrecht?, AVR 40 (2002), 454; *M. Krajewski*, Selbstverteidigung gegen bewaffnete Angriffe nicht-staatlicher Organisationen, AVR 40 (2002), 183; *C. Kreß*, Gewaltverbot und Selbstverteidigungsrecht nach der Satzung der Vereinten Nationen bei staatlicher Verwicklung in Gewaltakte Privater, 1995; *V. Lowe*, "Clear and Present Danger": Responses to Terrorism, ICLQ 54 (2005), 185; *C. Meiser/C. v. Buttlar*, Militärische Terrorismusbekämpfung unter dem Regime der UN-Charta, 2005; *L. Moir*, Reappraising the Resort to Force: International Law, *Jus ad Bellum* and the War on Terror, 2010; *S. Murphy*, Terrorism and the Concept of "Armed Attack" in Article 51 of the UN Charter, HarvILJ 43 (2002), 41; *V.-J. Proulx*, Transnational Terrorism and State Accountability: A New Theory of Prevention, 2012; *G. Redsell*, Illegitimate, unnecessary and disproportionate: Israel's use of force in Lebanon, StudZR 2007, 423; *T. Reinold*, State Weakness, Irregular Warfare, and the Right to Self-Defense Post-9/11, AJIL 105 (2011), 244; *M. Ruffert*, Terrorismusbekämpfung zwischen Selbstverteidigung und kollektiver Sicherheit, ZRP 2002, 247; *T. Ruys*, Crossing the Thin Blue Line: An Inquiry into Israel's Recourse to Self-Defense Against Hezbollah, StanfordJIL 43 (2007), 265; *C. Schaller*, Operation Enduring Freedom und das Recht auf Selbstverteidigung gegen Terroristen, FW 86/3-4 (2011), 111; *K. Schmalenbach*, Die Beurteilung von grenzüberschreitenden Militäreinsätze gegen den internationalen Terrorismus aus völkerrechtlicher Sicht, NZWehrR 42 (2000), 177; *R. Schmidt-Radefeldt*, Enduring Freedom – Antiterrorkrieg für immer?, HuV-I 2005, 245; *M. Schmitt*, Counter-Terrorism and the Use of Force in International Law, IsrYBHR 32 (2002), 53; *ders.*, Responding to Transnational Terrorism under the *Jus ad Bellum*: A Normative Framework, FS Dinstein, 2007, 157; *ders.*, "Change Direction" 2006: Israeli Operations in Lebanon and the International Law of Self-Defense, MichJIL 29 (2008), 127; *C. Stahn*, International Law at Crossroads? The Impact of September 11, ZaöRV 62 (2002), 183; *ders.*, "Nicaragua is dead, long live Nicaragua" – the Right to Self-defence under Art. 51 UN Charter and International Terrorism, in: Walter u. a. (Hg.): Terrorism as a Challenge for National and International Law, 2003, 2; *R. van Steenberghe*, Self-Defence in Response to Attacks by Non-state Actors in the Light of Recent State Practice: A Step Forward?, LJIL 23 (2010), 183; *ders.*, Les interventions militaires étrangères contre le terrorisme international, 1ère partie: fondements juridiques (*jus ad bellum*), AFDI 61 (2015), 145; *C. Tams*, The Use of Force against Terrorists, EJIL 20 (2009), 359; *C. Tomuschat*, Der 11. September 2001 und seine rechtlichen Konsequenzen, EuGRZ 2001, 535; *K. Trapp*, Back to Basics: Necessity, Proportionality, and the Right of Self-Defence Against Non-State Terrorist Actors, ICLQ 56 (2007), 141; *C. Wandscher*, Internationaler Terrorismus und Selbstverteidigungsrecht, 2006; *S. Weber*, Die israelischen Militäraktionen im Libanon und in den besetzten palästinensischen Gebieten 2006 und ihre Vereinbarkeit mit dem Völkerrecht, AVR 44 (2006), 460; *K. Weigelt*, Die Auswirkung der Bekämpfung des internationalen Terrorismus auf die staatliche Souveränität, 2016; *A. Wiik*, Nothing but allegations and accusations? The legality of Israel's and Hezbollah's use of force in the July war of 2006, StudZR 2007, 443; *K. Zemanek*, Response to a Terrorist Attack: A Clarification of Issues, ARIEL 15 (2010), 199; Schwerpunktthef: Self-Defence Against Non-State Actors: Impulses from the Max Planck Dialogues on the Law of Peace and War, ZaöRV 77 (2017), 1-93; EJIL: Debate! *V. Lanovoy/I. Plakokefalos*, The Use of Force by Non-State Actors and the Limit of Attribution of Conduct, EJIL 28 (2017), 563/687/595.

**Zu III.1.** *U. Beyerlin*, Die israelische Befreiungsaktion von Entebbe in völkerrechtlicher Sicht, ZaöRV 37 (1977), 213; *R. Chatham*, Defense of Nationals Abroad, FloridaJIL 23 (2011), 76; *K. Eichensehr*, Defending Nationals Abroad: Assessing the Lawfulness of Forcible Hostage Rescues, VJIL 48 (2008), 451; *M. Forteau*, Rescuing Nationals Abroad, in: Weller (Hg.), The Oxford Handbook on the Use of Force, 2015, 947; *J. Güntert*, Die materielle Verfassungsmäßigkeit von unilateralen Evakuierungsoperationen der Bundeswehr im Ausland, 2008; *C. Kreß*, Die Rettungsoperation der Bundeswehr in Albanien am 14. März 1997 aus völker- und verfassungsrechtlicher Sicht, ZaöRV 57 (1997), 329; *R. Lillich*, Forcible Protection of Nationals Ab-



road, *GYIL* 35 (1992), 205; *M. Schröder*, Die Geiselnbefreiung von Entebbe – Ein völkerrechtswidriger Akt?, *JZ* 1977, 420.

**Zu III.2.** *N. Aghayev*, Humanitäre Intervention und Völkerrecht: der NATO-Einsatz im Kosovo, 2007; *A. v. Arnould*, Souveränität und Responsibility to Protect, *FW* 84/1 (2009), 11; *ders.*, Werdende Norm oder politisches Konzept? Zur völkerrechtlichen Einordnung der Responsibility to Protect, in: *Staack/Krause* (Hg.), Schutzverantwortung in der Debatte, 2015, 55; *M. Binder*, The United Nations and the Politics of Selective Humanitarian Intervention, 2017; *H.-J. Blanke*, Menschenrechte als völkerrechtlicher Interventionstitel, *AVR* 36 (1998), 257; *M. Bothe*, Die NATO nach dem Kosovo-Konflikt und das Völkerrecht, *SZIER* 10 (2000), 177; *L. Brock*, Von der „humanitären Intervention“ zur „Responsibility to Protect“, *FS* Bothe, 2008, 19; *C. Burke*, An Equitable Framework for Humanitarian Intervention, 2013; *A. Cassese*, *Ex iniuria ius oritur*: Are we moving towards International Legitimation of Forcible Humanitarian Countermeasures in the World Community?, *EJIL* 10 (1999), 23; *J. Charney*, Anticipatory Humanitarian Intervention in Kosovo, *AJIL* 93 (1999), 834; *C. Chinkin*, Kosovo: A “Good” or “Bad” War?, *AJIL* 93 (1999), 841; *D. Deiseroth*, „Humanitäre Intervention“ und Völkerrecht, *NJW* 1999, 3084; *S. van den Driest*, “Pro-Democratic” Intervention and the Right to Political Self-Determination, *NILR* 57 (2010), 29; *K. Doehring*, Die Humanitäre Intervention: Überlegungen zu ihrer Rechtfertigung, *FS* Buergenthal, 1996, 549; *G. Evans*, From Humanitarian Intervention to the Responsibility to Protect, *WisILJ* 24 (2006), 703; *M. Fornasier/J.-U. Frank*, Die NATO-Luftangriffe auf die Bundesrepublik Jugoslawien und das völkerrechtliche Gewaltverbot, *Jura* 2002, 520; *T. Franck*, Lessons of Kosovo, *AJIL* 93 (1999), 857; *ders.*, Humanitarian and Other Interventions, *ColJTL* 43 (2005), 321; *R. Goodman*, Humanitarian Intervention and Pretexts for War, *AJIL* 100 (2006), 107; *C. Gray*, The Limits of Force, *RdC* 376 (2014), 93 (15 ff); *C. Greenwood*, International Law and the NATO Intervention in Kosovo, *ICLQ* 49 (2000), 926; *C. S. Haake*, Responsibility to Protect, *Jura* 2013, 555; *L. Henkin*, Kosovo and the Law of “Humanitarian Intervention”, *AJIL* 93 (1999), 824; *S. Heselerhaus*, Völkerrechtliche Grundfragen im Zusammenhang mit dem Kosovo-Konflikt, *JA* 1999, 984; *S. Hobe*, NATO-Intervention im Kosovo: Rückkehr zur Lehre vom gerechten Krieg?, *FS* Schiedermaier, 2001, 819; *ders.*, Humanitäre Intervention, in: *Schöbener* (Hg.), *Völkerrecht*, 2014, 150; *K. Ipsen*, Der Kosovo-Einsatz – Illegal? Gerechtfertigt? Entschuldigbar?, *FW* 74/1-2 (1999), 19; *R. Janse*, The Legitimacy of Humanitarian Interventions, *LJIL* 19 (2006), 669; *O. Kimminich*, Der Mythos der humanitären Intervention, *AVR* 33 (1995), 430; *A. Kolb*, The UN Security Council Members’ Responsibility to Protect: A Legal Analysis, 2018; *H. Krieger* (Hg.), *The Kosovo Conflict and International Law*, 2001; *V. Lowe*, International Legal Issues Arising in the Kosovo Crisis, *ICLQ* 49 (2000), 934; *P. Malanczuk*, Humanitarian Intervention and the Legitimacy of the Use of Force, 1993; *V. S. Mani*, “Humanitarian” Intervention Today, *RdC* 313 (2005), 9; *E. Massingham*, Military Intervention for Humanitarian Purposes: Does the Responsibility to Protect Doctrine Advance the Legality of the Use of Force for Humanitarian Ends?, *IRRC* 91 (2009), 803; *G. Molier*, Humanitarian Intervention and the Responsibility to Protect After 9/11, *NILR* 53 (2006), 37; *H. Münkler/K. Malowitz* (Hg.), *Humanitäre Intervention*, 2007; *G. Nolte*, Kosovo und Konstitutionalisierung: zur humanitären Intervention der NATO-Staaten, *ZaöRV* 59 (1999), 941; *J. Pattison* (Hg.), *Humanitarian Intervention*, Vol. 2: The International Law on Humanitarian Intervention, 2014; *H. Peltonen*, Of Rights and Responsibilities: The Right of Humanitarian Intervention, *FYIL* 19 (2008), 217; *D. Peters/D. Krause* (Hg.), *Southern Democracies and the Responsibility to Protect: Perspectives from India, Brazil and South Africa*, 2017; *M. Probst*, Die humanitäre Interventionspflicht, 2006; *T. Reinold*, Sovereignty as responsibility? Die USA, der konditionale Souveränitätsbegriff und das jus ad bellum, *FW* 84/1 (2009), 101; *M. Reisman*, Unilateral Action and the Transformations of the World Constitutive Process: The Special Problem of Humanitarian Intervention, *EJIL* 11 (2000), 3; *N. Rodley/B. Çali*, Kosovo Revisited: Humanitarian Intervention on the Fault Lines of International Law,

HRLJ 7 (2007), 275; *B. Simma*, NATO, the UN and the Use of Force: Legal Aspects, EJIL 10 (1999), 1; *A. Skordas*, Hegemonic Intervention as Legitimate Use of Force, MinnJIL 16 (2007), 407; *F. Tesón*, Humanitarian Intervention: An Inquiry into Law and Morality, 3. Aufl. 2005; *ders./B. van der Vossen*, Debating Humanitarian Intervention: Should We Try to Save Strangers?, 2017; *D. Thürer*, Der Kosovo-Konflikt im Lichte des Völkerrechts, AVR 38 (2000), 1; *C. Tomuschat*, Völkerrechtliche Aspekte des Kosovo-Konflikts, FW 74/1-2 (1999), 33; *P. Tzeng*, Humanitarian Intervention at the Margins: An Examination of Recent Incidents, VJTL 50 (2017), 415.

## E. Abrüstung und Rüstungskontrolle

**Vertiefende Literatur zu E.:** *N. Abe*, Existing and Emerging Legal Approaches to Nuclear Counter-Proliferation in the Twenty-First Century, NYUJILP 39 (2007), 929; *U. Becker/H. Müller/T. Seidler-Diekmann*, Die Regime zur Kontrolle nuklearer, biologischer und chemischer Waffen, FW 83/2-3 (2008), 57; *A. Biad*, Le Traité sur le Commerce des Armes Classiques: Entre accord de maîtrise des armements et instrument à dimension humanitaire, AFDI 60 (2014), 195; *J. Black-Branch/D. Fleck* (Hg.), Nuclear Non-Proliferation in International Law, Vol. I-IV, 2014–2019; *H. Blix*, UN Security Council vs. Weapons of Mass Destruction, NorJIL 85 (2016), 147; *C. Ford*, The Nonproliferation Bestiary: A Typology and Analysis of Nonproliferation Regimes, NYUJILP 39 (2007), 937; *J. Fry*, Dionysian Disarmament: Security Council WMD Coercive Disarmament Measures and Their Legal Implications, MichJIL 29 (2008), 197; *ders.*, Legal Resolution of Nuclear Non-Proliferation Disputes, 2013; *J. Garvey*, Nuclear Weapons Counterproliferation: A New Grand Bargain, 2013; *J. Granoff*, The Nuclear Nonproliferation Treaty and Its 2005 Review Conference: A Legal and Political Analysis, NYUJILP 39 (2007), 995; *A. Grotto*, Non-Proliferation Treaty (1968), MPEPIL (2/2009); *D. Joyner*, Non-Proliferation Law and the United Nations System: Resolution 1540 and the Limits of the Power of the Security Council, LJIL 20 (2007), 489; *ders.*, International Law and the Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction, 2009; *ders.*, Recent Developments in International Law Regarding Nuclear Weapons, ICLQ 60 (2011), 209; *ders.*, Interpreting the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty, 2011; *S. Kadelbach*, Nuclear Weapons and Nuclear Warfare, MPEPIL (10/2015); *H. Krieger*, A Nuclear Test for Multilateralism: Challenges to Non-Proliferation Treaty as a Means of Arms Control, GYIL 49 (2006), 17; *R. Krüger*, Die völkerrechtliche Verpflichtung der USA und Russlands zur weiteren Reduzierung und vollständigen Abrüstung der Atomwaffen, 2003; *L. Louca*, Der Arms Trade Treaty und die Kontrolle von Dual-use-Gütern, 2018; *T. Marauhn*, Die Durchsetzung von Rüstungskontroll- und Abrüstungsvereinbarungen, FW 74/1-2 (1999), 159; *A.-S. Millet-Devalle*, Non-prolifération nucléaire: le régime de non-prolifération, mouvements d'ensemble et mouvements partiels, RGDIP 111 (2007), 435; *J. Müller/A. Paulus*, Survival Through Law: Is there a Law Against Nuclear Proliferation?, FYIL 18 (2007), 83; *G. Nystuen* u. a. (Hg.), Nuclear Weapons under International Law, 2014; *K. Odendahl* (Hg.), Internationales und europäisches Atomrecht: die militärische und friedliche Nutzung der Atomenergie aus Sicht des Völker- und Europarechts, 2014; *L. Olberg*, Massenvernichtungswaffen kontrollieren, VN 2006, 189; *A. Pietrobbon*, Nuclear Powers' Disarmament Obligation under the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons and the Comprehensive Nuclear Test Ban Treaty, LJIL 27 (2014), 169; *M. I. Shaker*, The Evolving International Regime of Nuclear Non-Proliferation, RdC 321 (2006), 9; *M. Sossai*, Disarmament and Nonproliferation, in: White/Henderson (Hg.), Research Handbook on International Conflict and Security Law, 2013, 41; *M. Staack* (Hg.), Die Zukunft der nuklearen Ordnung, 2009; *J. Tucker*, Die Lücken schließen: Zur Umsetzung des Potenzials von Nichtverbreitungsverträgen im Kampf gegen Nuklear-, Bio- und Chemieterrorismus, FW 83/2-3 (2008), 81; *B. Tuzmukhamedov*, Legal Dimensions of Arms Control Agreements, RdC 377 (2015), 319; *C. Vail*, The Lega-

lity of Nuclear Weapons for Use and Deterrence, *GeorgeJIL* 48 (2017), 839; *W. Walker*, Die Bemühungen um die internationale Nuklearordnung, *FW* 83/2-3 (2008), 35; *R. Wolfrum*, Der Kampf gegen die Verbreitung der Massenvernichtungswaffen, *FS Delbrück*, 2005, 865.

## Teil III

## Friedenssicherungs- und Konfliktrecht

## § 14 Recht des bewaffneten Konflikts

## A. Einführung

**Vertiefende Literatur zu A.: Zu I., Allgemeines.** *Y. Abdelrehim*, Das Recht des Individuums auf Wiedergutmachung nach Humanitärem Völkerrecht, *HuV-I* 2013, 178; *R. Bank*, Is There a Substantive Right to Compensation for Individual Victims of Armed Conflicts Against a State Under International Law?, *GYIL* 49 (2006), 367; *Y. Beer*, Humanity Considerations Cannot Reduce War's Hazards Alone: Revitalizing the Concept of Military Necessity, *EJIL* 26 (2015), 801; *J. Gardam*, The Contribution of the International Court of Justice to International Humanitarian Law, *LJIL* 14 (2001), 349; *E.-C. Gillard*, Reparation for violations of international humanitarian law, *IRRC* 85 (2003), 529; *J. Hasse/E. Müller/P. Schneider* (Hg.), *Humanitäres Völkerrecht*, 2001; *S. Kadelbach*, Zwingende Normen des humanitären Völkerrechts, *HuV-I* 1992, 118; *T. Meron*, The Humanization of International Law, 2006; *K. M. Larsen/C. G. Cooper/G. Nystuen* (Hg.), Searching for a 'Principle of Humanity' in International Humanitarian Law, 2013; *P. Okowa*, State and Individual Responsibility in International Conflicts, *FYIL* 20 (2009), 143; *J. Pictet*, *Development and Principles of International Humanitarian Law*, 1985; *A. Stordel*, Ersatzansprüche von Kriegsoffern, *FreiLaw* 3/2010, 1; *D. Thürer*, International Humanitarian Law: Essence and Perspectives, *SZIER* 16 (2006), 157; *ders.*, International Humanitarian Law: Theory, Practice, Context, *RdC* 338 (2007), 9; *A. Zimmermann*, Die Wirksamkeit rechtlicher Hegung militärischer Gewalt, *BDGVR* 44 (2010), 7.

**Zu II.** *M. Bothe*, Die Zusatzprotokolle und ihre Bedeutung für den Schutz der Opfer, *HuV-I* 1997, 206; *F. Bugnion*, Droit international humanitaire coutumier, *SZIER* 16 (2006), 165; *S. Darcy*, Judges, Law and War: The Judicial Development of International Humanitarian Law, 2014; *L. Doswald-Beck*, Developments in Customary International Humanitarian Law, *SZIER* 15 (2005), 471; *H. Fischer*, The Additional Protocols 25 Years Later: Remarks on a Success Story, *HuV-I* 2002, 218; *C. Greenwood*, The International Court of Justice and International Humanitarian Law, in: *Jalloh u. a.* (Hg.), *Shielding Humanity*, 2015, 263; *H. Hiemstra/E. Nohle*, The Role of Non-State Armed Groups in the Development and Interpretation of International Humanitarian Law, *YIHL* 20 (2017), 3; *R. Kolb/K. Del Mar*, *Treaties for Armed Conflict*, in: *Clapham u. a.* (Hg.), *Oxford Handbook of International Law in Armed Conflict*, 2014, 50; *T. Meron*, The Geneva Conventions as Customary Law, *AJIL* 81 (1987), 348; *ders.*, The Continuing Role of Custom in the Formation of International Humanitarian Law, *AJIL* 90 (1996), 238; *ders.*, Revival of Customary Humanitarian Law, *AJIL* 99 (2005), 817; *D. Stephens*, The Age of the Manual: The Impact of the Manual on International Law Applicable to Air and Missile Warfare, *IsrYBHR* 45 (2015), 19; *M. Wood*, The Evolution and Identification of the Customary International Law of Armed Conflict, *VandJTL* 51 (2018), 727.

**Zu III.** *M. Bothe*, Humanitäres Völkerrecht und Schutz der Menschenrechte, *HuV-I* 2008, 4; *A. Cassinatis*, International Humanitarian Law, International Human Rights Law, and Fragmentation of International Law, *ICLQ* 56 (2007), 623; *J. Cerone*, Human Dignity in the Line of Fire: The Application of International Human Rights Law During Armed Conflict, Occupation, and Peace Operations, *VJTL* 39 (2006), 1447; *R. Cryer*, The Role of International Criminal Prosecutions in Increasing Compliance with Humanitarian Law, *IsrYBHR* 44 (2014), 247;

A. Danner, When Courts Make Law: How the International Criminal Tribunals Recast the Laws of War, VandLR 59 (2006), 1; M. Dennis, Application of Human Rights Treaties Extraterritorially in Times of Armed Conflict and Military Occupation, AJIL 99 (2005), 119; L. Doswald-Beck, The right to life in armed conflict: does international humanitarian law provide all the answers?, IRRC 88 (2006), 881; C. Droege, Elective affinities? Human rights and humanitarian law, IRRC 90 (2008), 501; K. Fortin, The Accountability of Armed Groups under Human Rights Law, 2017; H. Gutierrez Posse, The relationship between international humanitarian law and the international criminal tribunals, IRRC 88 (2006), 65; F. Harhoff, It is all in the Process: Reflections on the Relation between International Criminal Trials and International Humanitarian Law, NorJIL 78 (2009), 469; P. Hector, Das Humanitäre Völkerrecht als *lex specialis*, FS T. Stein, 2015, 956; R. Heinsch, Die Weiterentwicklung des humanitären Völkerrechts durch die Strafgerichte für das ehemalige Jugoslawien und Ruanda, 2007; H.-J. Heintze, On the relationship between human rights law protection and international humanitarian law, IRRC 86 (2004), 789; ders., Theorien zum Verhältnis von Menschenrechten und humanitärem Völkerrecht, HuV-I 2011, 4; ders., Veränderung im Verhältnis von Humanitärem Völkerrecht und Menschenrechtsschutz, HuV-I 2015, 149; L. Hill-Cawthorne, Humanitarian Law, Human Rights Law and the Bifurcation of Armed Conflict, ICLQ 64 (2015), 293; R. Kolb, Human Rights and Humanitarian Law, MPEPIL (3/2013); R. Kolb/G. Gaggioli (Hg.), Research Handbook On Human Rights And Humanitarian Law, 2013; N. Lubell, Challenges in applying human rights law to armed conflict, IRRC 87 (2005), 737; T. Meron, The Humanization of Humanitarian Law, AJIL 94 (2000), 239 (266 ff); G. Oberleitner, Human Rights in Armed Conflict, 2015; J. D. Ohlin (Hg.), Theoretical Boundaries of Armed Conflict and Human Rights, 2016; K. Okimoto, The Distinction and Relationship between *Jus ad Bellum* and *Jus in Bello*, 2011; A. Orakhelashvili, The Interaction between Human Rights and Humanitarian Law: Fragmentation, Conflict, Parallelism, or Convergence?, EJIL 19 (2008), 157; M. Sassòli, Humanitarian Law and International Criminal Law, in: Cassese (Hg.), The Oxford Companion to International Criminal Justice, 2009, 111; T. R. Salomon, Die Anwendung von Menschenrechten im bewaffneten Konflikt, AVR 53 (2015), 322; ders., Zum Verhältnis von Menschenrechten und Humanitärem Völkerrecht, HuV-I 28 (2015), 153; M. Sassòli/L. Olson, The relationship between international humanitarian and human rights law where it matters, IRRC 90 (2008), 599; L.-A. Sicilianos, L'articulation entre droit international humanitaire et droits de l'homme dans la jurisprudence de la Cour européenne des droits de l'homme, SRIEL 27 (2017), 3; C. Tomuschat, Human Rights and International Humanitarian Law, EJIL 21 (2010), 15.

**Zu IV.** S. Bettendorf, Die strafrechtliche Verantwortlichkeit deutscher Soldaten bei der Anwendung militärischer Gewalt, 2015; M. Bothe (Hg.), Towards a Better Implementation of International Humanitarian Law, 2002; ders., Tatsachenfeststellung als Mittel der Durchsetzung von Menschenrechten und humanitärem Völkerrecht, FS E. Klein, 2013, 1007; ders., Warum wird humanitäres Völkerrecht eingehalten oder verletzt? Perspektiven der Durchsetzung des humanitären Völkerrechts, HuV-I 2015, 55; G. Draper, The Implementation and Enforcement of the Geneva Conventions of 1949 and of the Two Additional Protocols of 1977, RdC 164 (1979), 1; K. Ipsen, Gedanken zur Effektivität des humanitären Völkerrechts, FS Bock 1993, 1; C. Jenks/G. Acquaviva, The role of international criminal justice in fostering compliance with international humanitarian law, IRRC 96 (2014), 775; B. Kessler, Die Durchsetzung der Genfer Abkommen von 1949 in nicht-internationalen bewaffneten Konflikten auf Grundlage ihres gemeinsamen Art. 1, 2001; H. Krieger (Hg.), Inducing Compliance with International Humanitarian Law, 2015; M. Mohr, Durchsetzungsmechanismen des humanitären Völkerrechts, in: Hasse/Müller/Schneider (Hg.), Humanitäres Völkerrecht, 2001, 158; D. Mundis, New Mechanisms for the Enforcement of International Humanitarian Law, AJIL 95 (2001), 934; F. Stam, Strafverfolgung bei Straftaten von Bundeswehrsoldaten im Auslandseinsatz, 2014; S. Weill, The Role of National Courts in Applying International Humanitarian Law, 2014; dies., Building respect for IHL through national courts, IRRC 96 (2014), 859.

**Zu V (ohne Cyberwarfare).** *M. Abbenhuis*, The Hague Conferences and International Politics, 1898–1915, 2019; *dies./C. E. Barber/A. R. Higgins* (Hg.), War, Peace and International Order?, 2017; *R. Arnold/P.-A. Hildbrand* (Hg.), International Law and the 21st Century's Conflicts, 2005; *J. Bäumler* u. a. (Hg.), Akteure in Krieg und Frieden, 2010; *W. Banks*, Counterinsurgency Law: New Directions in Asymmetric Warfare, 2013; *G. Best*, Humanity in Warfare: The Modern History of the International Law of Armed Conflicts, 1983; *A. Bianchi/Y. Naqvi*, International Humanitarian Law and Terrorism, 2011; *W. Boothby* (Hg.), New Technologies and the Law in War and Peace, 2018; *P. A. Fernández-Sánchez*, The New Challenges of Humanitarian Law in Armed Conflicts, 2005; *A. Fischer-Lescano*, Zwischen Humanität und Inhumanität. Aktuelle Herausforderungen im humanitären Völkerrecht, KJ 51 (2018), 367; *R. Geiß*, Asymmetric conflict structures, IRRC 88 (2006), 757; *ders.*, The Conduct of Hostilities in Asymmetric Conflicts, HuV-I 2010, 122; *ders.*, Das humanitäre Völkerrecht im Lichte aktueller Herausforderungen, in: Heintze/Ipsen (Hg.), Heutige bewaffnete Konflikte als Herausforderungen an das humanitäre Völkerrecht, 2011, 45; *A. Gillespie*, A History of the Laws of War, 3 Bde., 2011; *C. Gramn/D. Weingärtner* (Hg.), Moderne Waffentechnologie: Hält das Recht Schritt?, 2015; *C. Harvey/J. Summers/N. White* (Hg.), Contemporary Challenges to the Laws of War, 2014; *R. Heinsch*, Der Wandel des Kriegsbegriffs: Brauchen wir eine Revision des humanitären Völkerrechts?, HuV-I 2010, 133; *W. Heintschel v. Heinegg*, Asymmetric Warfare, MPEPIL (6/2010); *ders./V. Epping* (Hg.), International Humanitarian Law Facing New Challenges, 2007; *ders./R. Frau/T. Singer* (Hg.), Dehumanization of Warfare: Legal Implications of New Weapon Technologies, 2018; *S. Hobe*, Das humanitäre Völkerrecht in asymmetrischen Konflikten, BDGVR 44 (2010), 41; *D. Kennedy*, Lawfare and warfare, in: Crawford/Koskenniemi (Hg.), The Cambridge Companion to International Law, 2012, 158; *O. Kittrie*, Lawfare: Law as a Weapon of War, 2016; *M. Löhnig/M. Preisner/T. Schlemmer* (Hg.), Krieg und Recht: Die Ausdifferenzierung des Rechts von der ersten Haager Friedenskonferenz bis heute, 2014; *H. Nasu/R. McLaughlin* (Hg.), New Technologies and the Law of Armed Conflict, 2014; *M. Noortmann*, Aufständische Gruppen und private Militärunternehmen: Theoretische und praktische Überlegungen zur Position bewaffneter nicht-staatlicher Akteure im humanitären Völkerrecht, in: Heintze/Ipsen (Hg.), Heutige bewaffnete Konflikte als Herausforderungen an das humanitäre Völkerrecht, 2011, 187; *S. Oeter*, Fortschrittsnarrative im Humanitären Völkerrecht, FS T. Stein, 2015, 1025; *A. Orakhelashvili*, Legal Stability and Claims of Change: The International Court's Treatment of *Jus ad Bellum* and *Jus in Bello*, NorJIL 75 (2006), 371; *A. Paulus/M. Vashakmadze*, Asymmetrical war and the notion of armed conflict – a tentative conceptualization, IRRC 91 (2009), 95; *T. Pfanner*, David gegen Goliath oder asymmetrische Kriegsführung, HuV-I 2005, 165; *ders.*, Asymmetrical warfare from the perspective of humanitarian law and humanitarian action, IRRC 87 (2005), 149; *L. Reydam*, A la guerre comme à la guerre: patterns of armed conflict, humanitarian law responses and new challenges, IRRC 88 (2006), 729; *A. Roberts*, The equal application of the laws of war: a principle under pressure, IRRC 90 (2008), 931; *M. Sassòli/Y. Issar*, Challenges to International Humanitarian Law, in: v. Arnould/Matz-Lück/Odendahl (Hg.), 100 Years of Peace Through Law, 2015, 181; *R. Schäfer*, Humanitäres Völkerrecht in Zeiten des internationalen Terrorismus, JuS 2015, 218; *K. Schöberl*, Neuere Entwicklungen im Humanitären Völkerrecht, HuV-I 2015, 67; *T. Singer*, Dehumanisierung der Kriegführung: Herausforderungen für das Völkerrecht und die Frage nach der Notwendigkeit menschlicher Kontrolle, 2019; *D. Stephens/M. W. Lewis*, The Law of Armed Conflict – A Contemporary Critique, Melbourne JIL 6/1 (2005), 55; *S. Vöneky*, Der Lieber's Code und die Wurzeln des Kriegsvölkerrechts, ZaöRV 62 (2002), 423 *W. Williams/C. Ford* (Hg.), Complex Battlespaces: The Law of Armed Conflict and the Dynamics of Modern Warfare, 2018; Special issue: The evolution of warfare, IRRC 97 (2015), Nr. 900.

**Zu V (Cyberwarfare).** *J. Beard*, Law and War in the Virtual Era, AJIL 103 (2009), 409; *ders.*, Legal Phantoms in Cyberspace: The Problematic Status of Information as a Weapon and a Tar-

get Under International Humanitarian Law, *VJTL* 47 (2014), 67; *J. Dröge*, Cyber Warfare: Challenges for the Applicability of the Traditional Laws of War Regime, *AVR* 48 (2010), 486; *J. Green* (Hg.), *Cyber Warfare: A Multidisciplinary Analysis*, 2015; *H. Harrison Dinniss*, *Cyber Warfare and the Laws of War*, 2012; *H.-J. Heintze/P. Thielbörger* (Hg.), *From Cold War to Cyber War*, 2016; *M. Longobardo*, (New) Cyber Exploitation and (Old) International Humanitarian Law, *ZaöRV* 77 (2017), 809; *J. D. Ohlin*, *Cyberwar: Law and Ethics for Virtual Conflicts*, 2015; *Y. Radziwill*, *Cyber-Attacks and the Exploitable Imperfections of International Law*, 2015; *M. Roscini*, *Cyber Operations and the Use of Force in International Law*, 2014; *M. Schmitt*, *The Decline of International Humanitarian Law: *Opinio Juris* and the Law of Cyber Warfare*, *TexasILJ* 50 (2015), 189; *N. Tsagourias/R. Buchan*, *Automatic Cyber Defence and International Law*, *GYIL* 60 (2017), 203; *J.-C. Woltag*, *Cyber Warfare*, 2014; *K. Ziolkowski*, *Computernetzwerkoperationen und die Zusatzprotokolle zu den Genfer Abkommen*, *HuV-I* 2008, 202.

## B. Der bewaffnete Konflikt

**Vertiefende Literatur zu B.:** *J. Abrisketa*, *Blue Helmets and International Humanitarian Law: How They Come Together*, *HuV-I* 2009, 85; *C. Byron*, *Armed Conflicts: International or Non-International?*, *JCSL* 6 (2001), 63; *G. Corn*, *Hamdan, Lebanon, and the Regulation of Hostilities: The Need to Recognize a Hybrid Category of Armed Conflict*, *VJTL* 40 (2007), 295; *O. Corten/V. Koutroulis*, *The Illegality of Military Support to Rebels in the Libyan War: Aspects of *Jus Contra Bellum* and *Jus in Bello**, *JCSL* 18 (2013), 59; *E. Crawford*, *Unequal before the Law: The Case for the Elimination of the Distinction between International and Non-International Armed Conflicts*, *LJIL* 20 (2007), 441; *E. David*, *Internal (Non-International) Armed Conflict*, in: *Clapham u. a. (Hg.)*, *Oxford Handbook of International Law in Armed Conflict*, 2014, 353; *J. Dorsey/C. Paulussen*, *Boundaries on the Battlefield: A Critical Look at the Legal Paradigms in Countering Terrorism*, *YIHL* 16 (2013), 219; *O. Engdahl*, *Compliance with International Humanitarian Law in Multinational Peace Operations*, *NorJIL* 78 (2009), 513; *T. Ferraro*, *The applicability and application of international humanitarian law to multinational forces*, *IRRC* 95 (2013), 561; *ders.*, *The ICRC's legal position on the notion of armed conflict involving foreign intervention and on determining the IHL applicable to this type of conflict*, *IRRC* 97 (2015), 1227; *N. Gal-Or/C. Ryngaert/M. Noortmann* (Hg.), *Responsibilities of the Non-State Actor in Armed Conflict and the Market Place*, 2015; *K. Grenfell*, *Perspective on the applicability and application of international humanitarian law: the UN context*, *IRRC* 95 (2013), 645; *A. A. Haque*, *Whose Armed Conflict? Which Law of Armed Conflict?*, *Georgia JICL* 45 (2017), 475; *S. Haumer*, *Non-state armed actors and international humanitarian law: a demanding relationship?*, *HuV-I* 2015, 120; *M. Hess*, *Die Anwendbarkeit des humanitären Völkerrechts, insbesondere in gemischten Konflikten*, 1984; *D. Jinks*, *The Applicability of the Geneva Conventions in the "Global War on Terrorism"*, *VJIL* 46 (2005), 165; *C. Kreß*, *Der Bürgerkrieg und das Völkerrecht*, *JZ* 2014, 365; *M. Lehto*, *War on Terror – Armed Conflict with Al-Qaida?*, *NorJIL* 78 (2009), 499; *K. Mačák*, *Internationalized Armed Conflicts in International Law*, 2018; *K. Mastorodimos*, *Armed Non-State Actors in International Humanitarian and Human Rights Law*, 2015; *A. McDonald*, *Declarations of War and Belligerent Parties: International Law Governing Hostilities between States and Transnational Terrorist Networks*, *NILR* 54 (2007), 279; *F. Naert*, *Observance of international humanitarian law by forces under command of the European Union*, *IRRC* 95 (2013), 637; *P. Olson*, *A NATO perspective on applicability and application of IHL to multinational forces*, *IRRC* 95 (2013), 653; *U. Palwankar*, *Applicability of international humanitarian law to United Nations peace-keeping forces*, *IRRC* 75 (1993), 227; *A. Paulus/M. Vashakmadze*, *Asymmetrical war and the notion of armed conflict – a tentative conceptualization*, *IRRC* 91 (2009), 95; *J. Paust*, *NIAC Nonsense, the Afghan*

War, and Combat Immunity, Georgia JICL 44 (2016), 555; *J. Rehman/S. Ghosh*, International Law, US Foreign Policy and Post-9/11 Islamic Fundamentalism: The Legal Status of the ‘War on Terror’, NorJIL 77 (2008), 87; *M. Sassòli*, The International Legal Framework for Stability Operations, IsrYBHR 39 (2009), 177; *D. Schindler*, United Nations Forces and International Humanitarian Law, FS Pictet, 1984, 521; *J. Stewart*, Towards a single definition of armed conflict in international humanitarian law, IRRC 85 (2003), 313; *S. Vité*, Typology of armed conflicts in international humanitarian law: legal concepts and actual situations, IRRC 91 (2009), 69; *N. Zamir*, Classification of Conflicts in International Humanitarian Law, 2017; *ders.*, The classification of armed conflicts between occupying states and non-state armed groups in cases of belligerent occupation, CILJ 7 (2018), 145.

## C. Kombattanten und Nichtkombattanten

**Vertiefende Literatur zu C.: Zu I-III.1., Allgemeines.** *Y. Abdelrehim*, Die Rechtsstellung des Kriegskorrespondenten im Völkerrecht, 2014; *A. Alexander*, The Genesis of the Civilian, LJIL 20 (2007), 359; *S. Brenner*, Civilians in Cyberwarfare: Conscripts, VJTL 43 (2010), 1011; *C. Barrat*, Status of NGOs in International Humanitarian Law, 2014; *M. Bothe*, Töten und getötet werden: Kombattanten, Kämpfer und Zivilisten im bewaffneten Konflikt, FS Delbrück, 2005, 67; *E. Crawford*, The Treatment of Combatants and Insurgents under the Law of Armed Conflict, 2010; *Y. Dinstein*, The System of Status Groups in International Humanitarian Law, in: Heintschel v. Heinegg/Epping (Hg.), International Humanitarian Law Facing New Challenges, 2007, 145; *ders.*, The Conduct of Hostilities under the Law of International Armed Conflict, 2. Aufl. 2010, § 2; *J. Gebhardt*, Militias, MPEPIL (10/2010); *J.-M. Henckaerts*, Armed Forces, MPEPIL (12/2015); *D. Hessel*, The Pen and the Sword: International Humanitarian Law Protections for Journalism, Yale JIL 41 (2016), 415; *D. Jinks*, The Declining Significance of POW Status, HarvardILJ 45 (2004), 367; *T. Rodenhäuser*, Armed Groups, Rebel Coalitions, and Transnational Groups: The Degree of Organization Required from Non-State Armed Groups to Become Party to a Non-International Armed Conflict, YIHL 19 (2016), 3; *ders.*, Organizing Rebellion: Non-State Armed Groups under International Humanitarian Law, Human Rights Law, and International Criminal Law, 2018; *R. Ruß*, Der Kombattantenstatus, 1992; *M. Sassòli*, Combatants, MPEPIL (9/2015); *C. Schaller*, Guerrilla Forces, MPEPIL (9/2019); *S. Watts*, Combatant Status and Computer Network Attack, VJIL 50 (2010), 391.

**Zu III.2.** *D. Akande*, Clearing the Fog of War? The ICRC’s Interpretive Guidance on Direct Participation in Hostilities, ICLQ 59 (2010), 180; *G. Aldrich*, The Taliban, al Qaeda, and the Determination of Illegal Combatants, HuV-I 2002, 202; *C. Allan*, Direct Participation in Hostilities from Cyberspace, VirginiaJIL 54 (2013), 173; *A. Bellal/G. Giacca/S. Casey-Maslen*, International law and armed non-state actors in Afghanistan, IRRC 93 (2011), 1; *S. Borelli*, Casting light on the legal black hole: International law and detentions abroad in the “war on terror” IRRC 87 (2005), 39; *M. Bothe*, The Status of Captured Fighters in Non-international Armed Conflict, in: Tomuschat u. a. (Hg.), The Right to Life, 2010, 195; *Y. Dinstein*, The Distinction between Unlawful Combatants and War Criminals, FS Rosenne, 1989, 103; *K. Dörmann*, The legal situation of “unlawful/unprivileged combatants”, IRRC 85 (2003), 45; *M. Finaud*, L’abus de la notion de «combattant illégal»: une atteinte au droit international humanitaire, RGDIP 110 (2006), 861; *M. Gunneflo*, Targeted Killing: A Legal and Political History, 2018; *M. Haki-mi*, International Standards for Detaining Terrorism Suspects: Moving Beyond the Armed Conflict-Criminal Divide, YJIL 33 (2008), 369; *W. Heinz*, Terrorismus, Krieg und Menschenrechtsschutz, HuV-I 2010, 72; *B. Jose*, Gezielte Tötungen: Auf dem Weg zu einer globalen Norm?, APuZ 35-36/2016, 33; *S. Krasmann*, Targeted Killing and Its Law, LJIL 25 (2012), 665; *J. Kleffner*, From “Belligerents” to “Fighters” and Civilians Directly Participating in Ho-



stilities, NILR 54 (2007), 315; *D. Kretzmer*, Targeted Killing of Suspected Terrorists: Extra-Judicial Executions or Legitimate Means of Defence?, EJIL 16 (2005), 171; *N. Lubell*, What's in a Name? The Categorization of Individuals under the Laws of Armed Conflict, FW 86/3-4 (2011), 83; *P. Margulies/M. Sinnott*, Crossing Borders to Target Al-Qaeda and Its Affiliates: Defining Networks as Organized Armed Groups in Non-International Armed Conflicts, YIHL 16 (2013), 319; *D. McBride*, Who is a Member? Targeted Killings against Members of Organized Armed Groups, AYIL 30 (2011), 47; *N. Melzer*, Civilian Participation in Armed Conflict, MPEPIL (2/2010); *T. Roeder*, Zum Kriterium der „unmittelbaren Kampfteilnahme“ bei der humanitärrechtlichen Einordnung privater Konfliktdienstleister im internationalen bewaffneten Konflikt, HuV-I 2010, 173; *R. Rosen*, Targeting Enemy Forces in the War on Terror: Preserving Civilian Immunity, VJTL 42 (2009), 683; *M. Sassòli/L. Olson*, The relationship between international humanitarian and human rights law where it matters: admissible killing and internment of fighters in non-international armed conflicts, IRRIC 90 (2008), 599; *M. Schmitt*, Humanitarian Law and Direct Participation in Hostilities by Private Contractors or Civilian Employees, ChiJIL 5 (2005), 511; *ders.*, Extraterritorial Lethal Targeting, ColJTL 52 (2013), 77; *C. Tomuschat*, Gezielte Tötungen (Targeted Killings), VN 2004, 136; *C. Trumbull*, Re-Thinking the Principle of Proportionality Outside of Hot Battlefields, VirginiaJIL 55 (2015), 521; *D. Turns*, Cyber Warfare and the Notion of Direct Participation in Hostilities, JCSL 17 (2012), 279; *J. Wiczorek*, Unrechtmäßige Kombattanten und humanitäres Völkerrecht, 2005; *J. Yoo/J. Ho*, The Status of Terrorists, VJIL 44 (2003), 207; Beiträge in NYUJILP 42 (2010), Heft 3, 641 (von *K. Watkin*, *M. Schmitt*, *B. Boothby*, *W. Hays Parks* und *N. Melzer*).

**Zu IV.** *C. Bakker/M. Sossai* (Hg.), Multilevel Regulation of Military and Security Contractors, 2012; *N. Boldt*, Outsourcing War – Private Military Companies and International Humanitarian Law, GYIL 48 (2005), 502; *L. Cameron*, Private military companies: their status under international humanitarian law and its impact on their regulation, IRRIC 88 (2006), 573; *L. Dickinson*, Military Lawyers, Private Contractors, and the Problem of International Law Compliance, NY-UJILP 42 (2010), 355; *K. Fallah*, Corporate actors: The legal status of mercenaries in armed conflict, IRRIC 88 (2006), 599; *H.-P. Gasser*, Outsourcing of War Efforts – einige Fragen aus der Sicht des humanitären Völkerrechts, HuV-I 2006, 132; *E. L. Gaston*, Mercenarism 2.0? The Rise of the Modern Private Security Industry and its Implications for International Humanitarian Law Enforcement, HarvILJ 49 (2008), 221; *E.-C. Gillard*, Business goes to war: private military/security companies and international humanitarian law, IRRIC 88 (2006), 535; *E. Henn*, Staatenverantwortlichkeit für Verletzungen des Humanitären Völkerrechts durch private Militär- und Sicherheitsfirmen, Jura 2011, 572; *C. Hoppe*, Passing the Buck: State Responsibility for Private Military Companies, EJIL 19 (2008), 989; *M. Klamert*, Military Subcontractors under International Humanitarian Law, ARIEL 10 (2005), 127; *M. Kovač*, Legal Issues Arising from the Possible Inclusion of Private Military Companies in UN Peacekeeping, MPUNYB 13 (2009), 307; *H. Krieger*, Der privatisierte Krieg: Private Militärunternehmen im bewaffneten Konflikt, AVR 44 (2006), 159; *E. Moyakine*, The Privatized Art of War: Private Military and Security Companies and State Responsibility for their Unlawful Conduct in Conflict Areas, 2015; *K. Odendahl*, Die Bindung privater Militär- und Sicherheitsfirmen an das humanitäre Völkerrecht unter besonderer Berücksichtigung des Dokuments von Montreux, AVR 48 (2010), 226; *F. Parodi*, Les Etats face à l'activité des sociétés militaires et de sécurité privées, RGDIP 114 (2010), 501; *J. Pattison*, The Morality of Private War: The Challenge of Private Military and Security Companies, 2014; *M. Saage-Maaß/S. Weber*, „Wer sich in Gefahr begibt, kommt darin um...“ – zum Einsatz privater Sicherheits- und Militärfirmen in bewaffneten Konflikten, HuV-I 2007, 171; *Z. Salzman*, Private Military Contractors and the Taint of a Mercenary Reputation, NYUJILP 40 (2008), 853; *C. Schaller*, Operieren private Sicherheits- und Militärfirmen in einer humanitär-völkerrechtlichen Grauzone?, HuV-I 2006, 51; *P. Singer*, War, Profits, and the Vacuum of Law: Privatized Military Firms and International Law,

ColJTL 42 (2004), 521; *M. Stehr*, Private Sicherheitsfirmen in Krisengebieten, *FreiLaw* 3/2010; *H. Tonkin*, Common Article 1: A Minimum Yardstick for Regulating Private Military and Security Companies, *LJIL* 22 (2009), 779; *dies.*, State Control over Private Military and Security Companies in Armed Conflict, 2011; *T. Wayde Pittman/M. McCarthy*, Private Military Contractors: Still a Legal No Man's Land? Developments in Closing the Accountability Gap, *HuV-I* 2010, 164.

## D. Beschränkung der Kampfhandlungen: Methoden, Mittel und Objekte

**Vertiefende Literatur zu D.: Zu I.-III., Allgemeines.** *A. Biehler*, Radioaktive Uranmunition völkerrechtlich erlaubt?, *JA* 2001, 526; *W. Boothby*, Weapons, Prohibited, *MPEPIL* (2/2015); *dies.*, Weapons and the Law of Armed Conflict, 2. Aufl. 2016; *M. J. Boyle*, The Legal and Ethical Implications of Drone Warfare, *IJHR* 19 (2015), 105; *P. Bretton*, Le problème des «methodes et moyens de guerre ou de combat» dans les protocoles additionnels aux conventions de Genève du 12 Août 1949, *RGDIP* 82 (1978), 32; *P. Cameron*, The Limitations on Methods and Means of Warfare, *AYIL* 79 (1985), 247; *E. Crawford*, From Inter-state and Symmetric to Intra-state and Asymmetric: Changing Methods of Warfare and the Law of Armed Conflict in the 100 Years Since World War One, *YIHL* 17 (2014), 95; *Y. Dinstein*, Military Necessity, *MPEPIL* (9/2015); *dies.*, Warfare, Methods and Means, *MPEPIL* (9/2015); *T. Farer/F. Bernard*, Killing by Drone: Towards Uneasy Reconciliation with the Values of a Liberal State, *HRQ* 38 (2016), 108; *H.-P. Furrer*, Perfidie in der Geschichte und im heutigen Kriegsrecht, 1988; *C. Gramm/D. Weingärtner* (Hg.), *Moderne Waffentechnologie: Hält das Recht Schritt?*, 2015; *W. Heintschel von Heinegg*, The Exasperating Debate on the Legality of Unmanned Systems, *FS T. Stein*, 2015, 145; *C. Heyns*, Human Rights and the Use of Autonomous Weapons Systems (AWS) during Domestic Law Enforcement, *HRQ* 38 (2016), 350; *dies. u. a.*, The International Law Framework Regulating the Use of Armed Drones, *ICLQ* 65 (2016), 791; *K. Ipsen*, Ruses of War, *MPEPIL* (6/2010); *S. Kadelbach*, Nuclear Weapons and Warfare, *MPEPIL* (10/2015); *K. Lavand*, Reviewing the legality of new weapons, means and methods of warfare, *IRRC* 88 (2006), 925; *T. Marauhn*, Die Debatte über eine Revolution in Military Affairs: Ein Kommentar aus völkerrechtlicher Sicht, *FW 77/4* (2002), 411; *dies.*, The Prohibition to Use Chemical Weapons, *YIHL* 17 (2014), 25; *C. Martin*, A Means-Methods Paradox and the Legality of Drone Strikes in Armed Conflict, *IJHR* 19 (2015), 142; *H. Neuhold*, Internationale Konflikte – verbotene und erlaubte Mittel ihrer Austragung, 1977; *D. O'Donnell*, International treaties against terrorism and the use of terrorism during armed conflict and by armed forces, *IRRC* 88 (2006), 853; *K. Schadtke*, Das völkerrechtliche Verbot des Einsatzes chemischer Waffen im Bürgerkrieg: Der Syrienkonflikt als Fallstudie, *AVR* 53 (2015), 121; *C. Schaller*, Gezielte Tötungen und der Einsatz von Drohnen, *HuV-I* 2011, 91; *K. Schöberl*, „Global Battlefield“? – Drohnen und der geographische Anwendungsbereich des humanitären Völkerrechts, in: *Gramm/Weingärtner* (Hg.), *Moderne Waffentechnologie*, 2015, 120; *J.P. Städele*, Völkerrechtliche Implikationen des Einsatzes bewaffneter Drohnen, 2014; *D. Turns*, At the “Vanishing Point” of International Humanitarian Law: Methods and Means of Warfare in Non-International Armed Conflicts, *GYIL* 45 (2002), 115; *A. Zimmermann*, Völkerrechtliche Fragen des Einsatzes bewaffneter Drohnen, *MRM* 2013, 96; *M. Zöckler*, Laserwaffen im Völkerrecht und das Verbot unnötiger Leiden, 2006.

**Zu IV.1., V. H. Blix**, Area Bombardment: Rules and Reasons, *BYIL* 48 (1978), 31; *M. Bothe*, Moderner Luftkrieg und Schutz der Zivilbevölkerung, *HuV-I* 2002, 31; *S. Bouchié de Belle*, Chained to cannons or wearing targets on their T-shirts: human shields in international humanitarian law, *IRRC* 90 (2008), 883; *M. Bradley*, Protecting Civilians in War: The ICRC,

UNHCR, and Their Limitations in Internal Armed Conflicts, 2016; *O. Daum*, Das Völkerrecht der Seeblockade: Ein Beitrag zur dogmatischen Kategorisierung konkreter Konflikt-handlungen, 2016; *Y. Dinstein*, Issues Relating to the Use of Civilian 'Human Shields', *IsrYBHR* 44 (2014), 273; *ders.*, The International Law of Air and Missile Warfare, *IsrYBHR* 45 (2015), 1; *ders.*, Air Warfare, *MPEPIL* (9/2015); *P. Drew*, The Law of Maritime Blockade: Past, Present, and Future, 2018; *P. Ducheine/M. Schmitt/F. Osinga* (Hg.), Targeting: The Challenges of Modern Warfare, 2016; *R. Geiß/J. Devaney*, Zealots, Victims and Captives: Maintaining Adequate Protection of Human Shields in Contemporary International Humanitarian Law, *IsrYHR* 47 (2017), 11; *E. D. Gil*, Trapped: Three Dilemmas in the Law of Proportionality and Asymmetric Warfare, *YIHL* 18 (2015), 153; *J. Green/C. Waters*, Military Targeting in the Context of Self-Defence Actions, *Nordic JIL* 84 (2015), 3; *W. Hays Parks*, Asymmetries and the Identification of Legitimate Military Objectives, in: *Heintschel v. Heinegg/Epping* (Hg.), *International Humanitarian Law Facing New Challenges*, 2007, 65; *W. Heintschel v. Heinegg*, in: *Vitzthum* (Hg.), *Handbuch des Seerechts*, 2006, Kap. 7, Rn. 113–235; *ders.*, The Development of the Law of Naval Warfare from the Nineteenth to the Twenty-First Century – Some Select Issues, *YIHL* 17 (2014), 69; *ders.*, Blockade, *MPEPIL* (10/2015); *M. John-Hopkins*, Regulating the conduct of urban warfare, *IRRC* 92 (2010), 469; *J. Kraska*, Prize Law, *MPEPIL* (12/2009); *M. Lattimer/P. Sands* (Hg.), *The Grey Zone: Civilian Protection Between Human Rights and the Laws of War*, 2018; *C. S. Maier*, Targeting the city: Debates and silences about the aerial bombing of World War II, *IRRC* 87 (2005), 429; *J. D. Ohlin/L. May/C. Finkelstein*, Weighing Lives in War, 2017; *P. Pascucci*, Distinction and Proportionality in Cyberwar: Virtual Problems with a Real Solution, *MinnJIL* 26 (2017), 419; *S. Petkis*, Rethinking Proportionality in the Cyber Context, *GeorgeJIL* 47 (2016), 1431; *J.-F. Quéguiner*, Precautions under the law governing the conduct of hostilities, *IRRC* 88 (2006), 793; *P. Pascucci*, Distinction and Proportionality in Cyberwar: Virtual Problems with a Real Solution, *MinnJIL* 26 (2017), 419; *A. Randelzhofer*, Flächenbombardement und Völkerrecht, *FS von der Heydte*, 1977, 471; *N. Ronzitti*, Civilian Population in Armed Conflict, *MPEPIL* (5/2010); *ders.*, Naval Warfare, *MPEPIL* (6/2009); *N. Ronzitti/G. Venturini* (Hg.), *The Law of Air Warfare*, 2006; *M. Roscini*, Targeting and Contemporary Aerial Bombardment, *ICLQ* 54 (2005), 411; *D. Rudesill*, Precision War and Responsibility: Transformational Military Technology and the Duty of Care under the Laws of War, *YJIL* 32 (2007), 517; *Y. Sandoz*, Unlawful Damage in Armed Conflicts and Redress under International Humanitarian Law, *IRRC* 64 (1982), 131; *M. Sassòli*, Military Objectives, *MPEPIL* (9/2015); *M. Schmitt*, Precision attack and international humanitarian law, *IRRC* 87 (2005), 445; *T. Stein*, Collateral Damage, Proportionality and Individual International Criminal Responsibility, in: *Heintschel v. Heinegg/Epping* (Hg.), *International Humanitarian Law Facing New Challenges*, 2007, 157; *D. Stephens*, The Age of the Manual: The Impact of the Manual on International Law Applicable to Air and Missile Warfare, *IsrYBHR* 45 (2015), 19; *A. Vautravers*, Military operations in urban areas, *IRRC* 92 (2010), 437; *K. Watkin*, Targeting in Air Warfare, *IsrYBHR* 44 (2014), 1; *H. Willmot u. a.* (Hg.), *Protection of Civilians*, 2016; Special issue: War and security at sea, *IRRC* 98 (2016), Nr. 902.

**Zu IV.2.** Siehe Literatur zu E.

**Zu IV.3.** *K. Chamberlain*, Casualties of Armed Conflict: Protecting Cultural Property, *YIHL* 17 (2014), 189; *J. N. Clark*, The Destruction of Cultural Heritage in Armed Conflict: The 'Human Element' and the Jurisprudence of the ICTY, *ICLR* 18 (2018), 36; *T. Desch*, The Second Protocol of the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict, *YIHL* 2 (1999), 63; *B. Drazewska*, The Human Dimension of the Protection of the Cultural Heritage from Destruction during Armed Conflicts, *International Journal of Cultural Property*, 22 (2015), 205; *C. Eick*, Verstärkter Schutz von Kulturgut in bewaffneten Konflikten: Das Zweite Protokoll zur Haager Konvention von 1954, *HuV-I* 1999, 143; *H. Fischer*, The Protection of Cultural Property in Armed Conflicts, *HuV-I* 1993, 188; *M. Fuchs*, „Militari-

sierung der Kultur“ und das Völkerrecht, DÖV 2016, 141; *H. Hartung*, Kunstraub in Krieg und Verfolgung, 2005; *J.-M. Henckaerts*, New rules for the protection of cultural property in armed conflict, IRRC 81 (1999), 593; *C. Johannot-Gradis*, Protecting the past for the future: How does law protect tangible and intangible cultural heritage in armed conflicts?, IRRC 97 (2015), 1253; *M. Lostal*, International Cultural Heritage Law in Armed Conflict: Case-Studies of Syria, Libya, Mali, the Invasion of Iraq, and the Buddhas of Bamiyan, 2018; *K. Odendahl*, Der Schutz von Kulturgütern bei militärischen Konflikten auch aus privatrechtlicher Sicht, BDGV 44 (2010), 113; *R. O'Keefe*, The Protection of Cultural Property in Armed Conflict, 2006; *J. Petrovic/R. Hughes*, The Syrian Conflict and the Use of Cultural Property for Military Purposes, in: Petrovic (Hg.), Accountability for Violations of International Humanitarian Law, 2015, 136; *R. Pienky*, Der Schutz von Kulturgütern bei bewaffneten Konflikten im Lichte jüngster völkerrechtlicher Entwicklungen, HuV-I 2003, 27; *I. Sahin*, Die Herausforderungen des Kulturgüterschutzes durch die Aktionen des sogenannten Islamischen Staates, Freilaw 2016, 121; *K. Schmalenbach*, Ideological Warfare against Cultural Property, MPYUNL 19 (2015), 3; *J. Toman*, Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict, 2017; Symposium: Addressing Attacks on Cultural Heritage, JICJ 14 (2016), Heft 5, 1139 ff; Schwerpunkt: Der Kampf gegen die Zerstörung von Kulturgut, EurEth 74 (2017), Heft 3-4.

**Zu IV.4.** *P. Antoine*, International Humanitarian Law and the Protection of the Environment in Time of Armed Conflict, IRRC 74 (1992), 517; *M. Bothe*, The Protection of the Environment in Times of Armed Conflict, GYIL 34 (1991), 54; *M. Bothe/C. Bruch/J. Diamond/D. Jensen*, International law protecting the environment during armed conflict: gaps and opportunities, IRRC 92 (2010), 569; *A. Bouvier*, Protection of the natural environment in time of armed conflict, IRRC 73 (1991), 567; *Y. Dinstein*, Protection of the Environment in International Armed Conflict, MPUNYB 5 (2001), 523; *W. Durner*, Der völkerrechtliche Schutz der Umwelt in bewaffneten Konflikten, Jahrbuch des Umwelt- und Technikrechts 2015, 7; *D. Fleck*, Der Schutz der Umwelt im bewaffneten Konflikt, HuV-I 1991, 166; *S. Freeland*, Addressing the Intentional Destruction of the Environment During Warfare under the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, 2015; *J. Goldblatt*, Protection of the Environment against the Effects of Military Activities, HuV-I 1992, 133; *M. Halpern*, Protecting Vulnerable Environment in Armed Conflict: Deficiencies in International Humanitarian Law, Stanford JIL 119 (2015), 119; *K. Hulme* (Hg.), Law of the Environment and Armed Conflict, 2017; *M. Jacobsson*, Protection of the Environment and Air and Missile Warfare: Some Reflections, IsrYBHR 45 (2015), 51; *E. Koppe*, The Use of Nuclear Weapons and the Protection of the Environment during International Armed Conflict, 2008; *I. Peterson*, The Natural Environment in Times of Armed Conflict: A Concern for International War Crimes Law?, LJIL 22 (2009), 325; *J. Pretorius*, Enhancing Environmental Protection in Non-International Armed Conflict: The Way Forward, ZaöRV 78 (2018), 903; *R. Rayfuse* (Hg.), War and the Environment: New Approaches to Protecting the Environment in Relation to Armed Conflict, 2014; *M. Schmitt*, War and the Environment: Fault Lines in Prescriptive Landscape, AVR 37 (1999), 25; *H. Spieker*, Völkergewohnheitsrechtlicher Schutz der natürlichen Umwelt im internationalen bewaffneten Konflikt, 1992; *C. Stahn/J. S. Easterday/J. Iverson* (Hg.), Environmental Protection and Transitions from Conflict to Peace, 2017; *A. Swain/J. Öjendal* (Hg.), Routledge Handbook of Environmental Conflict and Peacebuilding, 2018; *S. Vöneky*, Die Fortgeltung des Umweltvölkerrechts in internationalen bewaffneten Konflikten, 2001; *dies./R. Wolfrum*, Environment, Protection in Armed Conflict, MPEPIL (2/2016); *R. Wolfrum*, The Protection of the Environment in Armed Conflict, IsrYBHR 45 (2015), 67; *J. Wyatt*, Law-making at the intersection of international environmental, humanitarian and criminal law, IRRC 92 (2010), 593.

**Zu V.** Siehe Zu IV.1.

## E. Schutz von Kombattanten außer Gefecht

**Vertiefende Literatur zu E.:** *Y. Begoore*, Prisoners Dilemma: Ascertaining and Augmenting the Multinational NIAC Detention Regime, MPYUNL 20 (2017), 436; *R. Chesney*, Prisoners of War, MPEPIL (10/2009); *M. Frostad*, Jus in bello after September 11, 2001: The relationship between jus ad bellum and jus in bello and the requirements for status as prisoner of war, 2005; *E. Debuf*, Captured in War: Lawful Internment in Armed Conflict, 2013; *R. Geiß*, Name, rank, date of birth, serial number and the right to remain silent, IRRC 87 (2005), 721; *L. Hill-Cawthorne*, Detention in Non-International Armed Conflict, 2016; *R. Hingorani*, Prisoners of War, 2. Aufl. 1982; *K. Mačák*, A Needle in a Haystack? Locating the Legal Basis for Detention in Non-International Armed Conflict, IsrYBHR 45 (2015), 87; *H. Meiertöns*, Keine Gefangenen? Auf der Suche nach dem „zuständigen Gericht“ gemäß Art. 5 der III. Genfer Konvention, HuV-I 2008, 134; *L. Müller*, Die Rolle nicht-internationaler Waffenstillstandsabkommen im humanitären Völkerrecht, 2019; *D. Murray*, Non-State Armed Groups, Detention Authority in Non-International Armed Conflict, and the Coherence of International Law: Searching for a Way Forward, LJIL 30 (2017), 435; *Y. Naqvi*, Doubtful prisoner-of-war status, IRRC 84 (2002), 571; *G. Novak*, Wounded, Sick, and Shipwrecked, MPEPIL (9/2015); *A. Rosas*, The Legal Status of Prisoner of War, 1976; *S. Scheipers* (Hg.), Prisoners in War, 2010; *M. Stuke*, Der Rechtsstatus des Kriegsgefangenen im bewaffneten Konflikt: Historische Entwicklung und geltendes Recht, 2017.

## F. Schutz von Zivilpersonen im gegnerischen Machtbereich

**Vertiefende Literatur zu F.:** *Y. Arai-Takahashi*, The Law of Occupation, 2009; *V. Azarova*, Towards a Counter-Hegemonic Law of Occupation: On the Regulation of Predatory Interstate Acts in Contemporary International Law, YIHL 20 (2017), 113; *O. Ben-Naftali/M. Sfard/H. Viterbo*, The ABC of the OPT: A Legal Lexicon of the Israeli Control over the Occupied Palestinian Territory, 2018; *E. Benvenisti*, Occupation, Belligerent, MPEPIL (5/2009); *ders.*, The International Law of Occupation, 2. Aufl. 2012; *ders.*, Occupation and Territorial Administration, in: Liivoja/MacCormack (Hg.), Routledge Handbook of the Law of Armed Conflict, 2016, 435; *N. Bhuta*, The Antinomies of Transformative Occupation, EJIL 16 (2005), 721; *M. Bothe*, *De Facto* Control of Land or Sea Areas; its Relevance under the Law of Armed Conflict, in Particular Air and Missile Warfare, IsrYBHR 45 (2015), 37; *D. Campanelli*, The law of military occupation put to the test of human rights law, IRRC 90 (2008), 653; *H. Cuyckens*, Revisiting the Law of Occupation, 2017; *H.-G. Dederer*, Aktuelle Herausforderungen für das Besatzungsrecht, in: Weingärtner (Hg.), Einsatz der Bundeswehr im Ausland, 2007, 35; *M. Dennis*, Application of Human Rights Treaties Extraterritorially in Times of Armed Conflict and Military Occupation, AJIL 99 (2005), 119; *Y. Dinstein*, The International Law of Belligerent Occupation, 2. Aufl. 2019; *T. Ferraro* (Hg.), Occupation and Other Forms of Territorial Administration, ICRC Expert Meeting: Report, 2012; *A. Gross*, The Construction of a Wall between The Hague and Jerusalem: The Enforcement and Limits of Humanitarian Law and the Structure of Occupation, LJIL 19 (2006), 393; *ders.*, Human Proportions: Are Human Rights the Emperor's New Clothes of the International Law of Occupation?, EJIL 18 (2007), 1; *ders.*, The Writing on the Wall: Rethinking the International Law of Occupation, 2017; *J. Gumz*, International Law and the Transformation of War, 1899–1949: The Case of Military Occupation, JMH 90 (2018), 621; *A. Gurmendi Dunkelberg*, Your Country, My Rules: Can Military Occupation Create Successful Transitions?, GeorgeJIL 46 (2015), 979; *N. Haupais*, Les obligations de la puissance occupante au regard de la jurisprudence et de la pratique récentes, RGDIP 111 (2007), 117; *M. Longobardo*, The Palestinian Right to Exploit the Dead Sea Coastline for Tourism, GYIL

58 (2015), 317; *ders.*, The Use of Armed Force in Occupied Territory, 2018; *J. Pejić*, Procedural principles and safeguards for internment/administrative detention in armed conflict and other situations of violence, *IRRC* 87 (2005), 375; *S. Ratner*, Foreign Occupation and International Territorial Administration: The Challenges of Convergence, *EJIL* 16 (2005), 695; *A. Roberts*, What Is Military Occupation?, *BYIL* 55 (1994), 249; *ders.*, Transformative Military Occupation, *AJIL* 100 (2006), S. 580; *ders.*, Occupation, Military, Termination of, *MPEPIL* (6/2009); *Y. Ronen*, A Century of the Law of Occupation, *YIHL* 17 (2014), 169; *N. Ronzitti*, Civilian Population in Armed Conflict, *MPEPIL* (5/2010); *F. Rosenfeld*, Die humanitäre Besatzung, 2009; *M. Sassòli*, Legislation and Maintenance of Public Order and Civil Life by Occupying Powers, *EJIL* 16 (2005), 661; *K. Schmalenbach*, Das moderne Recht der Okkupation: ein Instrument des Regimewechsels?, in: Heintze/Ipsen (Hg.), *Heutige bewaffnete Konflikte als Herausforderungen an das humanitäre Völkerrecht*, 2011, 113; *M. Schmitt*, Detention and Occupation in International Humanitarian Law, 2012; *C. Söfker*, Durch die Besatzungsmacht geprägte Neuordnungen besetzter Staaten, 2015; *P. Stirk*, The Politics of Military Occupation, 2009; *S. Vité*, The interrelation of the law of occupation and economic, social and cultural rights: the examples of food, health and property, *IRRC* 90 (2008), 629; *J. Willms*, Without order, anything goes? The prohibition of forced displacement in non-international armed conflict, *IRRC* 91 (2009), 547; *S. Wills*, Occupation Law and Multi-National Operations: Problems and Perspectives, *BYIL* 77 (2006), 256; *A. de Zayas*, Human rights and indefinite detention, *IRRC* 87 (2005), 15; *A. Zemach*, Can Occupation Resulting from a War of Self-Defense Become Illegal?, *MinnJIL* 24 (2015), 313.

## G. Neutralitätsrecht

**Vertiefende Literatur zu G.:** *M. Bothe*, Neutrality, Concept and General Rules, *MPEPIL* (10/2015); *T. Bridgeman*, The Law of Neutrality and the Conflict with Al Qaeda, *NYULR* 85 (2010), 1186; *E. Chadwick*, Traditional Neutrality Revisited, 2002; *P. Egli*, Rechtliche Schranken des Handels mit Kriegsmaterial, *SZIER* 15 (2005), 665; *B. Havel*, An International Law Institution in Crisis: Rethinking Permanent Neutrality, *Ohio State Law Journal* 61 (2000), 167; *W. Heintschel v. Heinegg*, Seekriegsrecht und Neutralität im Seekrieg, 1995; *ders.*, “Benevolent” third States in International Armed Conflicts, *FS Dinstein*, 2007, 543; *P. Hostettler*, Reflections on the Law of Neutrality in Current Air and Missile Warfare, *IsrYBHR* 44 (2014), 145; *ders./O. Danai*, Neutrality in Air Warfare, *MPEPIL* (11/2015); *dies.*, Neutrality in Land Warfare, *MPEPIL* (11/2015); *E. T. Jensen*, Sovereignty and neutrality in cyber conflict, *FordhamILJ* 35 (2012), 815; *L. Minear*, The theory and practice of neutrality: some thoughts on the tensions, *IRRC* 81 (1999), 63; *S. Neff*, The Rights and Duties of Neutrals: A General History, 2000; *S. Oeter*, Ursprünge der Neutralität: Die Herausbildung des Instituts der Neutralität im Völkerrecht der frühen Neuzeit, *ZaöRV* 48 (1988), 447; *ders.*, Neutralität und Waffenhandel, 1992; *U. Pieper*, Neutralität von Staaten, 1997; *G. Politakis*, Variations on a Myth: Neutrality and the Arms Trade, *GYIL* 35 (1992), 435; *J. A. Roach*, Neutrality in Naval Warfare, *MPEPIL* (2/2015); *S. Stadlmeier*, Dynamische Interpretation der dauernden Neutralität, 1991; *A. A. Tinoco*, Völkerrechtliche Grundlagen dauernder Neutralität, 1989; *J. Upcher*, Neutrality in Contemporary International Law, 2020 (i.V.); *G. Walker*, Information Warfare and Neutrality, *VJTL* 33 (2000), 1079; *L. Wildhaber*, Muss die dauernde Neutralität bewaffnet sein?, *FS Schindler*, 1989, 429; *P. Wrangé*, Impartial or Uninvolved? The Anatomy of 20th Century Doctrine on the Law of Neutrality, 2007; *K. Zemanek*, The Chaotic Status of the Laws of Neutrality, *FS Schindler*, 1989, 443.

Teil III

## Friedenssicherungs- und Konfliktrecht

### § 15 Völkerstrafrecht und internationale Strafgerichtsbarkeit

#### A. Begriff und Idee des Völkerstrafrechts

**Vertiefende Literatur zu A.:** *A. Addis*, Imagining the International Community: The Constitutive Dimension of Universal Jurisdiction, HRQ 31 (2009), 129; *K. Ambos*, Vom Sinn des Strafens auf innerstaatlicher und supranationaler Ebene, JuS 2001, 9; *I. Bantekas*, Criminal Jurisdiction of States under International Law, MPEPIL (3/2011); *R. Ben-Ari*, Universal Jurisdiction: Chronicle of a Death Foretold?, DenverJILP 43 (2015), 165; *A. Caligiuri*, Governing International Cooperation in Criminal Matters: The Role of the *aut dedere aut judicare* Principle, ICLR 18 (2018), 244; *A. Colangelo*, The Legal Limits of Universal Jurisdiction, VJIL 47 (2006), 149; *T. Darge*, Kriegsverbrechen im nationalen und internationalen Recht unter besonderer Berücksichtigung des Bestimmtheitsgrundsatzes, 2010; *K. F. Gärditz*, Weltrechtspflege: Eine Untersuchung über die Entgrenzung staatlicher Strafgewalt, 2006; *K. Gierhake*, Zur Legitimation des Völkerstrafrechts, ZIS 2008, 354; *D. Hovell*, The Authority of Universal Jurisdiction, EJIL 29 (2018), 427; *F. Jeßberger*, Bundesstrafgerichtsbarkeit und Völkerstrafgesetzbuch, HRRS 2014, 119; *ders./J. Geneuss* (Hg.), Zehn Jahre Völkerstrafgesetzbuch: Bilanz und Perspektiven eines „deutschen Völkerstrafrechts“, 2013; *W. Kaleck*, From Pinochet to Rumsfeld: Universal Jurisdiction in Europe 1998-2008, MichJIL 30 (2009), 927; *K. Kittichaisaree*, The Obligation to Extradite or Prosecute, 2018; *T. Lavers*, The Extraterritorial Actions of States: Jurisdiction in International and Transnational Criminal Law, 2016; *F. de Londras*, Terrorism as an international crime, in: Schabas (Hrsg.), Routledge Handbook of International Criminal Law, 2011, 169; *J. N. Maogoto*, Taming Westphalian Sovereignty: International Penal Process and the Expansion of Universal Jurisdiction, FS T. McCormack, 2016, 26; *F. Mégret*, The “elephant in the room” in debates about universal jurisdiction, TLT 6 (2015), 89; *D. Moura Vicente* (Hg.), Towards a Universal Justice? Putting International Courts and Jurisdictions into Perspective, 2016; *A. G. O’Shea*, Individual Criminal Responsibility, MPEPIL (5/2009); *L. Reydam*, Universal Jurisdiction, 2003; *J. Ríos Rodríguez*, La restriction de la compétence universelle des juridictions nationales: les exemples belge et espagnol, RGDIP 114 (2010), 563; *C. Safferling/S. Kirsch*, Völkerstrafrechtspolitik, 2014; *Hsio-Wen Wang*, Der universale Strafanspruch des nationalen Staates, 2005; *G. Werle*, Völkerstrafrecht und deutsches Völkerstrafgesetzbuch, JZ 2012, 373.

#### B. Historischer Abriss

**Vertiefende Literatur zu B.:** *S. H. Adem*, Palestine and the International Criminal Court, 2019; *H. Ahlbrecht*, Die Geschichte der völkerrechtlichen Strafgerichtsbarkeit im 20. Jahrhundert, 1999; *K. Ambos*, Afrikanischer oder Internationaler Strafgerichtshof?, in: Kreß (Hg.), 10 Jahre Arbeitskreis Völkerstrafrecht, 2015, 692; *M. C. Bassiouni*, The Legislative History of the International Criminal Court, 3 Bde., 2005; *F. Benedetti/K. Bonneau/J. Washburn*, Negotiating the International Criminal Court, 2014; *C. De Vos/S. Kendall/C. Stahn* (Hg.), Contested

Justice: The Politics and Practice of International Criminal Court Interventions, 2015; *M. Engelhart*, Der Weg zum Völkerstrafgesetzbuch – Eine kurze Geschichte des Völkerstrafrechts, Jura 2004, 734; *J. Foster*, A Situational Approach to Prosecutorial Strategy at the International Criminal Court, GeorgeJIL 47 (2016), 439; *A. Geis*, Der Internationale Strafgerichtshof in der Legitimitätskrise: die Entfremdung der Afrikanischen Union vom ISTGH, HuV-I 2017, 64; *C. Gevers*, International Criminal law and Individualism: An African Perspective, in: Schwöbel (Hg.), Critical Approaches to International Criminal Law, 2014, 221; *G. Hankel/G. Stuby* (Hrsg.), Strafgerichte gegen Menschheitsverbrechen: zum Völkerstrafrecht 50 Jahre nach den Nürnberger Prozessen, 1995; *R. Klimke*, Die Nürnberger Prozesse: Ein Meilenstein für die Völkerstrafgerichtsbarkeit, Jura 2015, 1265; *A. Knottnerus*, The Growing Rift between Africa and the International Criminal Court, HYIL 26 (2013), 34; *C. Kreß*, Versailles – Nürnberg – Den Haag: Deutschland und das Völkerstrafrecht, JZ 2006, 981; *K. Kyriakides*, Nuremberg in retrospect, ICLR 5 (2005), 373; *M. Lewis*, The Birth of New Justice: The Internationalization of Crime and Punishment, 1919-1950, 2014; *R. C. van Ooyen*, Vom Streit der USA um den ISTGH über die Definition der Aggression auf der Kampala-Konferenz zum „Rassismus-Vorwurf“ afrikanischer Staaten, 2015; *P. Osten*, Der Tokioter Kriegsverbrecherprozess und die japanische Rechtswissenschaft, 2003; *A. Ryan*, Nuremberg's Contributions to International Law, BCICLR 30 (2007), 55; *W. Schabas*, The Trial of the Kaiser, 2018; *R. Steinke*, Selektive Strafverfolgung: über ein wachsendes Problem der internationalen Strafjustiz, KJ 47 (2014), 207; *M. Sterio/M. Scharf* (Hg.), The Legacy of Ad Hoc Tribunals in International Criminal Law: Assessing the ICTY's and the ICTR's Most Significant Legal Accomplishments, 2019; *A. Tejan-Cole*, Africa and the International Criminal Court: legitimacy and credibility challenges, FS Koroma, 2015, 426; *O. Triffterer*, Der lange Weg zu einer internationalen Strafgerichtsbarkeit, ZStW 114 (2002), 321; *G. Werle* (Hg.), Africa and the International Criminal Court, 2014; *ders./M. Vormbaum*, Afrika und der internationale Strafgerichtshof, JZ 2015, 581.

## C. Grundzüge des materiellen Völkerstrafrechts

**Vertiefende Literatur zu C.:** **Zu I., Allgemeines.** *A. Bufalini*, The Principle of Legality and the Role of Customary International Law in the Interpretation of the ICC Statute, LP ICT 14 (2015), 233; *G. E. Cote Barco*, Rückwirkung und die Entwicklung der internationalen Verbrechen: Elemente einer allgemeinen Konzeption des nullum-crimen-sine-lege-Prinzips im Völkerstrafrecht, 2018; *S. Erne*, Das Bestimmtheitsgebot im nationalen und internationalen Strafrecht am Beispiel des Straftatbestands der Verfolgung, 2016; *A. Grabert*, Dynamic Interpretation in International Criminal Law, 2015; *L. Grover*, Interpreting Crimes in the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, 2016; *P. Hauck*, The Challenge of Customary International Crimes to the Principle of Nullum Crimen Sine Lege, HuV-I 2008, 58; *A. Koch*, Über den Wert der Verbrochenselemente („Elements of Crimes“) gem. Art. 9 ISTGH-Statut, ZIS 2007, 150; *C. Kreß*, Die Kristallisation eines Allgemeinen Teils des Völkerstrafrechts, HuV-I 1999, 4; *ders.*, Zur Methode der Rechtsfindung im Allgemeinen Teil des Völkerstrafrechts, ZStW 111 (1999), 596; *M. Kuhli*, Das Völkerstrafgesetzbuch und das Verbot der Strafbegründung durch Gewohnheitsrecht, 2009; *T. Rauter*, Judicial Practice, Customary International Criminal Law and Nullum Crimen Sine Lege, 2017; *H. Satzger*, Die Internationalisierung des Strafrechts als Herausforderung für den strafrechtlichen Bestimmtheitsgrundsatz, JuS 2004, 943; *M. Swart*, Judicial Lawmaking at the *ad hoc* Tribunals: The Creative Use of the Sources of International Law and “Adventurous Interpretation”, ZaöRV 70 (2010), 459.

**Zu II.1.** *J. Adam*, Die Strafbarkeit juristischer Personen im Völkerstrafrecht, 2015; *M. Akseno-va*, Complicity in International Criminal Law, 2016; *K. Ambos*, Der Allgemeine Teil des Völkerstrafrechts, 2. Aufl. 2004; *ders.*, Treatise on International Criminal Law, Vol. I, 2013; *M. E.*



*Badar*, The Concept of *Mens Rea* in International Criminal Law, 2013; *N. Bernaz*, Corporate Criminal Liability under International Law, JICJ 13 (2015), 313; *L. Berster*, Die völkerstrafrechtliche Unterlassungsverantwortlichkeit, 2008; *ders.*, 'Duty to Act' and 'Commission by Omission' in International Criminal Law, ICLR 10 (2010), 619; *B. Burghardt*, Die Vorgesetztenverantwortlichkeit im völkerrechtlichen Straftatsystem, 2008; *ders.*, Die Vorgesetztenverantwortlichkeit nach Völkerstrafrecht und deutschem Recht (§ 4 VStGB), ZIS 2010, 695; *J. N. Clark*, 'Specific Direction' and the Fragmentation of International Jurisprudence on Aiding and Abetting: *Perišić* and Beyond, ICLR 15 (2015), 411; *R. Clark*, The Mental Element in International Criminal Law, CLF 12 (2001), 291; *M. Duttwiler*, Liability for Omission in International Criminal Law, ICLR 6 (2006), 1; *S. Finnin*, Liability for Ordering the Commission of International Crimes, FS T. McCormack, 2016, 53; *M. Granik*, Indirect Perpetration Theory, LJIL 28 (2015), 977; *A. Herzig*, Die Tatherrschaftslehre in der Rechtsprechung des Internationalen Strafgerichtshofs, ZIS 2013, 189; *I. Hünerbein*, Straftatkonkurrenzen im Völkerstrafrecht, 2005; *N. Jain*, Perpetrators and Accessories in International Criminal Law, 2014; *B. Jesse*, Der Verbrechensbegriff des Römischen Statuts, 2009; *H. Jung*, Zum gegenwärtigen Stand einer „Dogmatik des Völkerstrafrechts“, AVR 43 (2005), 525; *N. Karsten*, Die strafrechtliche Verantwortlichkeit des nicht-militärischen Vorgesetzten, 2010; *M. Kelly*, Prosecuting Corporations for Genocide, 2016; *G.-J. A. Knoops*, Defenses in Contemporary International Criminal Law, 2. Aufl. 2008; *M. Korte*, Die Irrtumsregelung des Art. 32 IStGH-Statut, ZIS 2008, 419; *E. Langston*, The Superior Responsibility Doctrine in International Law, ICLR 4 (2004), 141; *C. Nill-Theobald*, „Defences“ bei Kriegsverbrechen am Beispiel Deutschlands und der USA, 1998; *H. Olásolo*, The Criminal Responsibility of Senior Political and Military Leaders as Principals to International Crimes, 2009; *I. Peterson*, Open Questions Regarding Aiding and Abetting Liability in International Criminal Law: A Case Study of ICTY and ICTR Jurisprudence, ICLR 16 (2016), 565; *dies.*, Criminal Responsibility for Omissions in ICTY and ICTR Jurisprudence, ICLR 18 (2018), 749; *H. Radtke*, Gedanken zur Vorgesetztenverantwortlichkeit im nationalen und internationalen Strafrecht, FS E. Müller, 2008, 577; *A. Reggio*, Aiding and Abetting in International Criminal Law, ICLR 5 (2005), 623; *N. Reid*, Bridging the Conceptual Chasm: Superior Responsibility as the Missing Link between State and Individual Responsibility under International Law, LJIL 18 (2005), 795; *U. Roßkopf*, Die innere Tatseite des Völkerrechtsverbrechens: ein Beitrag zur Auslegung des Art. 30 IStGH-Statut, 2007; *M. Scaliotti*, Defences before the International Criminal Court, 2 Teile, ICLR 1 (2001), 111, ICLR 2 (2002), 1; *J. Schlösser*, Mittelbare individuelle Verantwortlichkeit im Völkerstrafrecht, 2004; *A. Sepinwall*, Failures to Punish: Command Responsibility in Domestic and International Law, MichJIL 30 (2008), 251; *L. Sprik*, Military Commanders as Bystanders to International Crimes: A Responsibility to Protect?, in: Tzevelekos/Barnes (Hg.), Beyond Responsibility to Protect: Generating Change in International Law, 2016, 393; *J. Stewart*, The Turn to Corporate Criminal Liability for International crimes, NYUJILP 47 (2014), 121; *C. Stuckenberg*, Vorstudien zu Vorsatz und Irrtum im Völkerstrafrecht, 2007; *W. Timmermann*, Incitement in International Law, 2014; *T. Weigend*, Vorsatz und Risikokenntnis, FS Herzberg 2008, 997; *ders.*, Notwehr im Völkerstrafrecht, FS Tiedemann, 2008, 1439; *K. Wetzl*, Die Unterlassungshaftung im Völkerstrafrecht, 2004; *G. Werle*, Die mittelbare Mittäterschaft: Fortentwicklung deutscher Strafrechtsdogmatik im Völkerstrafrecht?, FS Maiwald, 2010, 849; *J. Williamson*, Some considerations on command responsibility and criminal liability, IRRC 90 (2008), 303; *R. A. Wilson*, Incitement on Trial: Prosecuting International Speech Crimes, 2017; *H. van der Wilt*, *Nullum Crimen* and International Criminal Law: The Relevance of the Foreseeability Test, NorJIL 84 (2015), 515; *K. Yokohama*, The Failure to Control and the Failure to Prevent, Repress and Submit: The Structure of Superior Responsibility under Article 28 ICC Statute, ICLR 18 (2018), 275; *T. Zimmermann*, Der Notwehrexzess im Völkerstrafrecht, ZJS 2015, 57; *F. Zorzi Giustiniani*, The Responsibility of Accomplices in the Case-Law of the *Ad Hoc* Tribunals, CLF 20 (2009), 417.

**Zu II.2.** *G. Acquaviva/F. Pocar*, Crimes against Humanity, MPEPIL (6/2008); *K. Ambos*, Das Verbrechen der Aggression nach Kampala, ZIS 2010, 649; *R. Arnold*, The ICC as a New Instrument for Repressing Terrorism, 2004; *M. C. Bassiouni*, Crimes Against Humanity: Historical Evolution and Contemporary Application, 2011; *A. Becker*, Der Tatbestand des Verbrechens gegen die Menschlichkeit, 1996; *G. de Beco*, War Crimes in International versus Non-international Armed Conflicts, ICLR 8 (2008), 319; *P. Behrens*, The Crime of Genocide and the Problem of Subjective Substantiality, GYIL 59 (2016), 321; *L. Berster/B. Schiffbauer*, Völkermord im Nordirak? Die Handlungen der Terrorgruppe „Islamischer Staat“ und ihre völkerrechtlichen Implikationen, ZaöRV 74 (2014), 847; *R. Böttner*, Von Nürnberg über Rom nach Kampala, AVR 51 (2013), 201; *M. Boot*, Genocide, Crimes Against Humanity, War Crimes, 2002; *A. Bummel/F. Selbmann*, Genozid: Eine Zwischenbilanz der Rechtsprechung des Internationalen Strafgerichtshofes für das ehemalige Jugoslawien, HuV-I 2006, 58; *A. Cullen*, The Definition of Non-International Armed Conflict in the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, JCSL 12 (2007), 419; *F. Dencker*, Verbrechen gegen die Menschlichkeit und internationales Strafrecht: Kritische Beobachtungen, ZIS 2008, 298; *K. Dörmann*, Elements of War Crimes under the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court: Sources and Commentary, 2002; *P. Dreist*, Aggression, Kriegsverbrechen, Verbrechen gegen die Menschlichkeit, Völkermord, in: Schöbener (Hrsg.), Völkerrecht, 2014, 7, 268; 490, 508; *R. Dubler/M. Kalyk* (Hg.), Crimes against Humanity in the 21st Century, 2018; *H. Duffy*, Criminal Justice and International Terrorism Post-9/11, in: dies. (Hg.), The “War on Terror” and the Framework of International Law, 2015, 171; *S. Fiorentini/W.-J. van der Wolf* (Hg.), Terrorism and International Criminal Law, 2013; *K. Gierhake*, Zum Erfordernis eines „ausgedehnten oder systematischen Angriffs gegen die Zivilbevölkerung“ als Merkmal der Verbrechen gegen die Menschlichkeit, ZIS 2010, 676; *L. Grover*, Interpreting Crimes in the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, 2014; *N. Hajdin*, Individual Criminal Responsibility for the Crime of Aggression, GICR 21 (2015), 5; *K. H. Kaikobad*, Crimes against International Peace and Security, Acts of Terrorism and Other Serious Crimes: A Theory on Distinction and Overlap, ICLR 7 (2007), 187; *H.-P. Kaul*, Von Nürnberg nach Kampala – Reflexionen zum Verbrechen der Aggression, ZIS 2010, 637; *G. Kemp*, Individual Criminal Liability for the International Crime of Aggression, 2. Aufl. 2016; *S. Kirsch*, Der Begehungszusammenhang der Verbrechen gegen die Menschlichkeit, 2009; *H. Koh/T. Buchwald*, The Crime of Aggression: The United States Perspective, AJIL 109 (2015), 257; *L. Korffält*, Sexual Violence and the Relevance of the Doctrine of Superior Responsibility in the Light of the Katanga Judgment at the International Criminal Court, Nordic JIL 84 (2015), 533; *C. Kreß*, The Crime of Genocide under International Law, ICLR 6 (2006), 461; *L. Lehmler*, Die Strafbarkeit von Vertreibungen aus ethnischen Gründen im bewaffneten nicht-internationalen Konflikt, 1999; *B. Lüders*, Die Strafbarkeit von Völkermord nach dem Römischen Statut für den Internationalen Strafgerichtshof, 2004; *R. Manson*, Identifying the Rough Edges of the Kampala Compromise, CLF 21 (2010), 417; *S. Menz*, Die Verantwortlichkeit der Mitarbeiter privater Militär- und Sicherheitsunternehmen nach Art. 8 ICC-Statut, 2011; *S. Meseke*, Der Tatbestand der Verbrechen gegen die Menschlichkeit nach dem Römischen Statut des Internationalen Strafgerichtshofes, 2004; *A. Paulus*, Second Thoughts on the Crime of Aggression, EJIL 20 (2009), 1117; *W. Schabas*, Genocide in International Law, 2. Aufl. 2009; *ders.*, Genocide, MPEPIL (12/2007); *D. Scheffer*, The Complex Crime of Aggression under the Rome Statute, LJIL 23 (2010), 897; *F. Selbmann*, Der Tatbestand des Genozids im Völkerstrafrecht, 2003; *K. Sellars*, ‘Crimes against Peace’ and International Law, 2013; *W. Timmermann*, Incitement in International Law, 2014; *H. Vest*, Genozid durch organisatorische Machtapparate: an der Grenze von individueller und kollektiver Verantwortlichkeit, 2002; *T. Weatherall*, The Status of the Prohibition of Terrorism in International Law: Recent Developments, GeorgeJIL 46 (2015), 589; *N. Weisbord*, Prosecuting Aggression, HarvILJ 49 (2008), 161; *G. Werle/B. Burghardt*, Erfordern Menschlichkeitsverbrechen die Beteiligung eines Staates oder einer „staatsähnlichen“ Organisation?, ZIS 2012, 271; *R. A. Wilson*, Inciting

Genocide with Words, MichJIL 36 (2015), 277; *H. van der Wilt/I. Braber*, The Case for Inclusion of Terrorism in the Jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court, in: Mariniello (Hg.), *The International Criminal Court in Search of its Purpose and Identity*, 2015, 17; *M. Wong*, Ratifying the Amendments to the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court on the Crime of Aggression, MPYUNL 19 (2015), 17; *J. Wouters*, The Prohibition of Genocide as a Norm of *Ius Cogens* and Its Implications for the Enforcement of the Law of Genocide, ICLR 5 (2005), 401.

## D. Gerichtliche Durchsetzung des Völkerstrafrechts

**Vertiefende Literatur zu D.: Zu I., II.1. Allgemeines.** *A. Alamuddin* (Hg.), *The Special Tribunal for Lebanon*, 2014; *G. Boas/W. Schabas* (Hrsg.), *International Criminal Law Developments in the Case Law of the ICTY*, 2003; *L. v. Braun*, *Internationalisierte Strafgerichte*, 2008; *D. Cohen*, “Hybrid” Justice in East Timor, Sierra Leone, and Cambodia: “Lessons learned” and Prospects for the Future, *StanfordJIL* 43 (2007), 1; *S. Dana*, *The Sentencing Legacy of the Special Court for Sierra Leone*, *GeorgiaJICL* 42 (2014), 615; *L. Dickinson*, *The Promise of Hybrid Courts*, *AJIL* 97 (2003), 295; *O. Diggelmann*, *Staatsverbrechen und internationale Justiz: Zur Einlösbarkeit der Erwartungen an internationale Straftribunale*, *AVR* 45 (2007), 382; *F. Egon-da-Ntende*, *Justice after Conflict: Challenges Facing “Hybrid” Courts: National Tribunals with International Participation*, *HuV-I* 2005, 24; *R. Geiß/N. Bulinckx*, *International and internationalized criminal tribunals: a synopsis*, *IRRC* 88 (2006), 49; *P. Gréciano*, *Aufarbeitung und Versöhnung: die Verpflichtungen der internationalen Justiz*, *VRÜ* 44 (2011), 115; *S. van Heeck*, *Die Weiterentwicklung des formellen Völkerstrafrechts*, 2006; *L. van den Herik*, *The Contribution of the Rwanda Tribunal to the Development of International Law*, 2005; *H.-P. Kaul*, *International Criminal Court (ICC)*, *MPEPIL* (12/2010); *S. Kirsch*, *Die Tätigkeit der beiden ad hoc-Tribunale für das ehemalige Jugoslawien und Ruanda – Versuch einer Bilanz*, *HuV-I* 2008, 66; *M. Klamberg*, *What are the Objectives of International Criminal Procedure? Reflections on the Fragmentation of a Legal Regime*, *NorJIL* 79 (2010), 279; *G.-J. A. Knoops*, *An Introduction to the Law of International Criminal Tribunals: A Comparative Study*, 2. Aufl. 2014; *K.-M. König*, *Die völkerrechtliche Legitimation der Strafgewalt internationaler Strafjustiz*, 2003; *H. Kuczyńska*, *Selection of Defendants before the ICC: Between the Principle of Opportunism and Legalism*, *PoLYIL* 34 (2014), 187; *C. Mangold*, *Die völkerstrafrechtliche Verfolgung von Individuen durch internationale Strafgerichtshöfe*, 2007; *F. Mégret*, *A Special Tribunal for Lebanon: The UN Security Council and the Emancipation of International Criminal Justice*, *LJIL* 21 (2008), 485; *S. Meisenberg/I. Stegmiller*, *The Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia: Assessing their Contribution to International Criminal Law*, 2016; *T. Meron*, *Reflections on the Prosecution of War Crimes by International Tribunals*, *AJIL* 100 (2006), 551; *F. Pocar*, *International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda*, *MPEPIL* (11/2007); *ders.*, *International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia (ICTY)*, *MPEPIL* (1/2007); *C. Romano/A. Nollkaemper/J. Kleffner* (Hrsg.), *Internationalized Criminal Courts and Tribunals*, 2004; *S. Schulz*, *Transitional Justice und hybride Gerichte*, 2009; *C. Stahn* (Hg.), *The Law and Practice of the International Criminal Court*, 2015; *S. Williams*, *Hybrid and Internationalised Criminal Tribunals*, 2012; *D. Tolbert/L. Smith*, *The Legacy of International and Hybrid Courts – Are Human Rights More Respected?*, in: *Jalloh* (Hg.), *Promoting Accountability under International Law for Gross Human Rights Violations in Africa*, 2015, 130; *E. de Wet*, *Das Verhältnis zwischen dem Internationalen Strafgerichtshof und ad-hoc-Tribunalen: Konkurrenz oder Symbiose*, *FW* 83/4 (2008), 33; *R. Wilson*, *Judging History: The Historical Record of the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia*, *HRQ* 27 (2005), 908.

**Zu II.2.** A. *Abass*, The International Criminal Court and Universal Jurisdiction, ICLR 6 (2006), 349; O. *Bekou/R. Cryer*, The International Criminal Court and Universal Jurisdiction: A Close Encounter?, ICLQ 56 (2007), 49; M. *Bergsmo/P. Webb*, International Criminal Courts and Tribunals, Complementarity and Jurisdiction, MPEPIL (11/2010); M. *Bothe*, Das Komplementaritätsprinzip: Wie lassen sich Völkerrecht und Strafverfolgung in Einklang bringen, FW 83/4 (2008), 59; W. *Burke-White*, Proactive Complementarity: The International Criminal Court and National Courts in the Rome System of International Justice, HarvILJ 49 (2008), 53; F. *Gioia*, State Sovereignty, Jurisdiction, and ‘Modern’ International Law: The Principle of Complementarity in the International Criminal Court, LJIL 19 (2006), 1095; K. J. *Heller*, The Shadow Side of Complementarity: The Effect of Article 17 of the Rome Statute on National Due Process, CLF 17 (2006), 255; J. *Kleffner*, Complementarity in the Rome Statute and National Criminal Jurisdictions, 2007; L. *Lafleur*, Der Grundsatz der Komplementarität, 2011; M. *Melandri*, The Relationship Between State Sovereignty and the Enforcement of International Criminal Law Under the Rome Statute (1998), ICLR 9 (2009), 531; F. *Meyer*, Complementing Complementarity, ICLR 6 (2006), 549; D. *Momtaz*, La compétence complémentaire de la Cour Pénale Internationale à l’épreuve des lois d’amnistie inconditionnelle, FW 78/1 (2003), 53; D. *Ntanda Nsereko*, The Relationship between the International Criminal Court and the United Nations Security Council, ZIS 2007, 500; M. *Pampalk/N. Knust*, Transitional Justice und positive Komplementarität, ZIS 2010, 669; X. *Philippe*, The principles of universal jurisdiction and complementarity: how do the two principles intermesh?, IRRC 88 (2006), 375; V. *Popovski*, The Security Council and the International Criminal Court, in: ders./Fraser (Hg.), The Security Council as a Global Legislator, 2014, 266; D. *Robinson*, The Mysterious Mysteriousness of Complementarity, CLF 21 (2010), 67; W. *Schabas*, “Complementarity in Practice”: Some Uncomplimentary Thoughts, CLF 19 (2008), 5; A. *Skander Galand*, Security Council Referrals to the International Criminal Court as Quasi-Legislative Acts, MPYUNL 19 (2015), 142; ders., UN Security Council Referrals to the International Criminal Court: Legal Nature, Effects and Limits, 2018; C. *Stahn*, Complementarity: A Tale of Two Notions, CLF 19 (2008), 87; I. *Stegmiller*, Complementarity Thoughts, CLF 21 (2010), 159; V. *Tsilonis*, The Jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court, 2019.

**Zu II.3.** K. *Ambos*, International Criminal Procedure: “Adversarial”, “Inquisitorial” or Mixed?, ICLR 3 (2003), 1; H.-J. *Behrens*, Das Verfahren des Internationalen Strafgerichtshofs nach dem Statut von Rom, HuV-I 1998, 144; S. *Kirsch*, The Trial Proceedings Before the ICC, ICLR 6 (2006), 275; Y. *McDermott*, Fairness in International Criminal Trials, 2016; H. *Olásolo*, The Triggering Procedure of the International Criminal Court, Procedural Treatment of the Principle of Complementarity, and the Role of Office of the Prosecutor, ICLR 5 (2005), 121; I. *Stegmiller*, The Pre-Investigation Stage of the ICC: Criteria for Situation Selection, 2011.

**Zu II.4. und III.** K. *Ambos/S. König/P. Rackow* (Hg.), Rechtshilferecht in Strafsachen, 2015; U. *Behrendt*, Die Verfolgung des Völkermordes in Ruanda durch internationale und nationale Gerichte, 2005; O. *Bekou/D. Birkett* (Hg.), Cooperation and the International Criminal Court: Perspectives from Theory and Practice, 2016; A. *Ciampi*, Current and Future Scenarios for Arrest and Surrender to the ICC, ZaöRV 66 (2006), 719; Y. *Gamarra*, United Nations Member States’ Obligations Towards the ICTY, ICLR 8 (2008), 627; 305; M. *Rahmat*, Access to International Justice: The Role of the International Criminal Court in Aiding National Prosecutions of International Crimes, in: Keyzer/Popovski/Sampford (Hg.), Access to International Justice, 2015, 35; R. *Rastan*, Testing Co-operation: The International Criminal Court and National Authorities, LJIL 21 (2008), 431; S. *Roper/L Barria*, State Co-operation and International Criminal Court Bargaining Influence in the Arrest and the Surrender of Suspects, LJIL 21 (2008), 457; K. *Schmalenbach*, International Criminal Law in Germany, in: de Wet/Hestermeyer/Wolfrum (Hg.), The Implementation of International Law in Germany and South Africa, 2015, 376; A. *Schüller*, Strafverfolgung von Kriegsverbrechen: aktuelle Verfahren in Deutschland und vor

dem Internationalen Strafgerichtshof, HuV-I 2015, 115; *Zhu W.*, On co-operation by states not party to the International Criminal Court, IRRC 88 (2006), 87.

**Zu IV.** *J. Bosch*, Immunität und internationale Verbrechen, 2004; *J. Clark*, International Trials and Reconciliation: Assessing the Impact of the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia, 2014; *G. Conway*, *Ne Bis in Idem* in International Law, ICLR 3 (2003), 217; *ders.*, *Ne Bis in Idem* and the International Criminal Tribunals, CLF 14 (2003), 351; *M. Freeman*, Necessary Evils: Amnesties and the Search for Justice, 2009; *R. Geiß*, *Ne bis in idem*, MPEPIL (2/2010); *J. Horsthemke*, Immunitäten für Staatsoberhäupter und hochrangige Regierungsmitglieder vor dem IStGH, 2019; *H. Huang*, On Immunity of State Officials from Foreign Criminal Jurisdiction, ChinJIL 13 (2014), 1; *D. Jacobs*, The Frog that Wanted to Be an Ox: The ICC's Approach to Immunities and Cooperation, in: Stahn (Hg.), The Law and Practice of the International Criminal Court, 2015, 281; *R. M. Kniebühler*, Transnationales „ne bis in idem“: Zum Verbot der Mehrfachverfolgung in horizontaler und vertikaler Dimension, 2005; *A. S. Knottnerus*, The Security Council and the International Criminal Court: The Unresolved Puzzle of Article 16, NILR 61 (2014), 195; *D. Koller*, Bespoke Transitional Justice at the International Criminal Court, in: De Vos/Kendall/Stahn (Hg.), Contested Justice: The Politics and Practice of International Criminal Court Interventions, 2015, 106; *H. Kreicker*, Völkerrechtliche Exemtionen: Grundlagen und Grenzen völkerrechtlicher Immunitäten und ihre Wirkung im Strafrecht, 2 Bde., 2007; *ders.*, Immunität und IStGH: Zur Bedeutung völkerrechtlicher Exemtionen für den Internationalen Strafgerichtshof, ZIS 2009, 350; *L. Laplante*, Outlawing Amnesty: The Return of Criminal Justice in Transitional Justice Schemes, VJIL 49 (2009), 915; *E. Lutz* (Hg.), Prosecuting Heads of State, 2009; *L. Mallinder*, Can Amnesties and International Justice be Reconciled?, IJTJ 1 (2007), 208; *S. Manacorda/G. Vanacore*, The Right Not to Be Tried Twice for International Crimes, in: Mariniello (Hg.), The International Criminal Court in Search of its Purpose and Identity, 2015, 61; *S. Marochkin*, The Changing Dynamics of International Lawmaking: Trying Heads of State for Rape and Sexual Violence, LPICT 14 (2015), 290; *R. O'Brien*, Amnesty and International Law, NorJIL 74 (2005), 261; *A. G. O'Shea*, Truth and Reconciliation Commissions, MPEPIL (12/2008); *L. Payne/F. Lessa/G. Pereira*, Overcoming Barriers to Justice in the Age of Human Rights Accountability, HRQ 37 (2015), 728; *P. Pinto Soares u. a.*, Transitional Justice in the DRC: The 2014 Amnesty Law and the Principle of Complementarity — *Quid Juris?*, in: Mariniello (Hg.), The International Criminal Court in Search of its Purpose and Identity, 2015, 174; *N. Roht-Arriaza*, After Amnesties Are Gone: Latin America National Courts and the New Contours of the Fight Against Impunity, HRQ 37 (2015), 341; *A. Schlunck*, Amnesty versus Accountability, 2000; *A. Seibert-Fohr*, Amnesties, MPEPIL (11/2010); *S. Senn*, Immunitäten vor dem Internationalen Strafgerichtshof, 2010; *M. Swart*, In the Eye of the Storm: the Kenyatta Case and the Dispute Between the African Union and the International Criminal Court, AYIHL 2014 133; *R. Theofanis*, The Doctrine of *Res Judicata* in International Criminal Law, ICLR 3 (2003), 195; *D. Tladi*, Immunity in the Era of “Criminalisation”: The African Union, the ICC, and International Law, JapYIL 58 (2015), 17; *R. Uerpman-Witzack*, Immunität vor internationalen Strafgerichten, AVR 44 (2006), 33; *D. Voulgaris*, Transnationales „ne bis in idem“ zwischen staatlicher Schutz- und Achtungspflicht, 2016; *W. Weiß*, Völkerstrafrecht zwischen Weltprinzip und Immunität, JZ 2002, 696; *S. Williams*, Amnesties in International Law: The Experience of the Special Court for Sierra Leone, HRLJ 5 (2005), 271; *S. Wirth*, Immunities, Related Problems, and Article 98 of the Rome Statute, CLF 12 (2001), 429; *C. Wong*, Criminal sanctions and administrative penalties: The *quid of the ne bis in idem* principle and some original sins, in: Galli/Weyembergh (Hg.), Do labels still matter? 2014, 219; *T. Zimmermann*, „Deals“ mit Diktatoren? Zur politischen Verhandlungbarkeit völkerrechtlicher Strafansprüche, ZIS 2013, 102.

## **E. Völkerstrafrecht, Spezialisierung und Konstitutionalisierung**

**Vertiefende Literatur zu E.:** *M. C. Bassiouni*, Perspectives on International Criminal Justice, VJIL 50 (2010), 269; *C. Burchard*, Völkerstrafrecht als global governance, FW 83/4 (2008), 73; *A. Müller*, Der Internationale Strafgerichtshof als Faktor der Globalisierung. Eine Annäherung aus rechtsphilosophischer und staatsrechtlicher Sicht, 2005; *E. van Sliedregt/S. Vassiliev* (Hg.), Pluralism in International Criminal Law, 2014; *G. Werle*, Die Zukunft des Völkerstrafrechts, FS 200 Jahre Juristische Fakultät der Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 2010, 1219; *A. Zimmermann*, Responsibility for Violations of International Humanitarian Law, International Criminal Law and Human Rights Law – Synergy and Conflict?, in: Heintschel v. Heinegg/V. Epping (Hg.), International Humanitarian Law Facing New Challenges, 2007, 215.